E.F. FLUFF

[redacted]

Nicolas Moore,

Thank you for the patience you've shown me, and the opportunity to try communicate this situation to Ulosottolaitos

I have an enforcement Judgment from a case W22/53025, and it is without exaggeration, the core issue-cause in all of this. That Judgment is how I ended up trapped with Alegria Psychology Oy and Anna Vuorivirta (AV). It is also the reason I ended up banned from legal aid.

My apologies, but due to aspects of trauma and Post-Traumatic-Stress Disorder, in addressing the historical background, I have to take a slight informal tone. However, I assure you when I get to the legal and financial side, it will be back to formal.

Back in 2023, when I was having my first engagement with Ulosottolaitos – it was like a calamity of horror, one caused by outside circumstances beyond anyone's control (mine or Ulosottolaitos), that all went far worse than it should have.

I mean, a prime example of why that (my and Ulosottolaitos trying to communicate) was a complete clusterfuck:

1. **W22/53025 Background Context - The Human Condition at the Time of Enforcement:**

    1. When Ulosottolaitos first engaged with Enforcement for W22/53025 I was still recovering from a pretty serious head injury and concussion, that'd left me requiring dental surgery. A serious downside in dating someone who is abusive, is that proper medical treatment and care is not really on the table. I was plagued with headaches from it, and memory disrupted mood-swings. To be frank, the entire eviction proceedings should have been delayed, from that alone. To top that off, I was suffering chronic shingles and post-herpetic neuralgia due to the stress. I was not well.

    2. I think, 1st of August (exact date a little sketchy cause a.) I was the passenger in a car accident with AV as driver. We were rear-ended in traffic in Hiertoniemi by this dude who was stoned as hell. AV was fine, but I knew almost immediately something serious was wrong with me. You know when you just know, before the pain really kicks in?

        AV refused to call the police, and then refused to take me to hospital. I ended up having three major herniated discs in my cervical spine and two minor. I didn't get to a doctor for it until close to the 29th-30th of August. To say I was in an ungodly

[redacted]

amount of pain is not doing it justice. I was not sleeping, and when I did fall asleep, the sudden loss of tension that was supporting my neck resulting in me waking up screaming-actual literal screaming. I had almost **zero** pain management for that. It took like three doctors before one listened to me and was like *“holy fuck you need oxycodone”* – and even then, he only gave me enough for a week—and the rest of the time—on top of everything else, was spent trying to secure the next week’s dose—each week. And I already live with chronic pain from other injuries, including the torture ones, and including the spine damage torture injury.

I’m surprised I apparently was even able to manage coherent sentences. Just to get me through the Court dates, some Finnish friends sourced and surprised me with two Palliative Care long release oxycodone 20mgs. I don’t know where they got them, I didn’t ask, I don’t care.

So when your colleagues met me—began engaging with me in 2023—they were dealing with that—someone half-destroyed-half-mad with pain, pain induced sleepless exhaustion—the stuff I’m about to explain, which will likely seem really “Why couldn’t you have said that then?”—dude, I was going three-four-five plus days with no more than thirty minutes sleep...Time and Space were **Pain.** I had all these official emails to reply to—but could barely type cause of the way I had to hold my head—the original appeal for enforcement I wrote that on essentially on a litre of Koskenkorva-a-day. Which made me just about able to deal with a monitor and all the little micro-movements you’re unaware of doing until you are **AWARE**.

But I’m getting ahead of myself here. I'll get back to this in a minute I just needed to give even a half-idea of why I was unable to communicate this stuff like this back then. Though I did try; I was just ignored and treated with prejudice.

2. W22/53025 Additional Contextual Background:

1. I did mention in prior correspondence; just before COVID, in Ireland a corrupt Police Officer targetted me, and I got plunged into a nightmare of police brutality and corruption. I applied for a 4E Pre-Arraignment Dismissal—and fought an absolutely withering war against them—as a lay litigant—on hunger strike—by the time we got to the 2nd main hearing—I’d been on hunger strike for I think one-hundred-and-fifteen-days. I won a landmark victory—I made new Law...I am the first recognition of a non-binary person in an Irish Court Judgment. I exposed seventeen Irish Police Officers for perjury for personal gain. And less than a month and a half after that—they tried to murder me, confiscate everything I used to win—and plunge me back into another nightmare. Human Rights Activists (HRA) helped me escape back to

[redacted]

Finland.

2. I was homeless for a time, HRA folk put me up in hotels and Air BnB's until I ended up in the homeless shelter behind Kamppi. (Which is a hellish place).

3. I rented Abrahaminkatu 17 D 70 from this wealthy little older couple; Erkki and Erja Pasanen—who seemed cool. I did not know what level of hateful bigots they were until later.

4. The whole arrangement began on an oral contract; I was so desperate to not be homeless; I sent them the rent, the deposit AND advance payment into the next month before I'd even met them. I was so worried about being homeless again, that's what I did every month. I paid advance into the next month. From the very beginning—until I had to return to Dublin—they always had anywhere from up to a quarter or a third of following month before it was time to pay the next month. The Pasanens were very happy with this, and offered me a contract-lease renewal before the half-way mark.

5. But I still had to go back to Ireland; I had to talk to Lawyers and also had to do something with the Police raid derelict apartment I had been left. But all of this was transparent—they knew to the letter what was happening, and were fine with it.

6. The week we were supposed to formalise the extension lease—I had to go back to Ireland to talk to three lawyers. While I was there I was going to see if I could rapid empty and sell the apartment or hand it to property management folk. I was so desperate not to be homeless—in the first place I'd felt safe since 2018. I offered the Pasanens a ridiculous deal. If they accepted some disruption to rent payments while I was in Dublin—I would pay an entire year's rent upfront—in one lump sum. I didn't find out until much later that, that—and their subsequent demands related to it was a wholly illegal agreement. All that mattered to me was not being homeless, being safe, no more punitive police raids, no more torture, no more sexual assault at gunpoint.

7. I know this next bit sounds like something from *Days of Our Lives* or *The Wind Also Blows*—but this is honestly how the following went down:

    a. I'd organised a fast sale of the property to this guy called Joe Doyle. It was half the value of the property. But the agreement granted an advance of 50,000€. With which I could pay the Pasanens, and resolve the last of my belongings in Ireland.

b. A corrupt Lawyer I later found out was sleeping with one of the implicated police officers found out I was back in Dublin. This led to a punitive police raid—the CCTV video of me screaming as I'm being tortured to spine damage is from that. See attached.

c. I was only supposed to be **max** 3-6 weeks, and I got dragged into more malicious proceedings-living in a derelict apartment with no electricity, one cold tap, no hot water, and not even a front door. Literally no door—the repeat punitive raids had destroyed it. I returned to hunger strike and began fighting an injunction in the Dublin High Court.

d. Everything was still supposed to work out though—because Joe Doyle was supposed to buy the property—except at the 11th hour of the 11th hour—literally the last forty minutes before the contracts were to be signed Joe Doyle began trying to make unilateral changes to the advance and to strong arm me into things thinking I was vulnerable and desperate. So the deal collapsed.

e. I went back to Dublin end-of-June—I did not escape until December when HRA helped me escape through four different countries—I was so weak from hunger strike I had to be wheeled through those airports in a wheelchair.

3. W22/53025: **October 2022 onward – a calamity of hatred:**

1. **Lease Background:**
   Initial Agreement (test tenancy lease for six month) (February 1, 2022 – August 31, 2022): A fixed-term tenancy agreement was in place between the landlords, Erkki and Erja Pasanen, and the tenant, E.F. Fluff, for the apartment at Abrahaminkatu 17 D 70, 00180 Helsinki.

2. **Post-Agreement Discussions:**
   After the fixed-term agreement expired on August 31, 2022, discussions commenced regarding a new one-year agreement. These discussions included a proposal from Fluff to pay a full year's rent in advance to secure the tenancy. However, it was always agreed and acknowledged anything could go wrong with the property sale—so there were TWO lease contracts, one for the whole year, and one progressing monthly as normal.

[redacted]

3. While I had only intended a short visit to Ireland, both myself and the Pasanens agreed selling a property can be chaotic. So any delay or extension was discussed —and accepted.

4. The six-month lease ended 31.08.2022. 23rd of August 2022 – Erkki and Erja Pasanen accepted €1,380 payment. That covered August and advance payment for September the new lease period.

5. The Pasanens later claimed they did not get this—and the Judgment claims this payment did not happen—see attached SMS record of Erkki Pasanen acknowledging the payment.

6. Just prior to this; in an effort to try assist speed up matters with Joe Doyle—Erkki and Erja Pasanen had sent digitally signed copies of both the monthly rent payment schedule—indicating a finalised informal contract pending the formalisation of the lease-contract.

7. 31.08.2022 – Erkki Pasanen emails to say: *“It means that you may not be in Helsinki soon? Are you able to pay September rent even we have not signed the lease contract yet? Good luck and keep me updated. Regards, Erkki”*

8. September 21st – a further €300 was sent—and accepted. Erkki Pasanen responds asking about October’s rent. That is a finalised contract.

9. The Pasanens claimed they did not get any of these notifications—but they were sent SMS and email—and until October 19th—record shows them acknowledging payments and asking for more—and the Judgment claims this payment did not happen—see attached SMS record of Erkki Pasanen acknowledging the payment.

10. **October 2, 2022:** Landlord Erkki Pasanen sent an email to E.F. Fluff asking, *"When are you coming to Helsinki? How is the rent going to be handled?" This communication further confirms the landlords'* understanding that a tenancy agreement was active and that rent was expected.

11. Sometime October – The Pasanens trespassed during a paid rental period. This is not alleged, they took photos of their trespass in the rented property. During the trespass – the Pasanens opened and stole private postal-mail, vandalised and stole belongings including computer hardware. This began a period of the Pasanens threatening E.F. Fluff’s belongings.

[redacted]

12. October 17, 2022**:** The landlords' position changed abruptly. Erkki Pasanen sent an email to Fluff stating that a new tenancy agreement could not be signed and demanded the immediate return of the apartment keys. This email constitutes the landlords' first attempt to unilaterally terminate the tenancy.

13. October 17th onwards the Pasanens began a campaign of slander and defamation targeting Fluff's sexuality, gender identity, mental health, legal status and legal cases, financial status, and character. They began telling outside parties such as Porochista Khakpour and Orla Barrett that Fluff was not non-binary they were mentally ill. Concurrent, the Pasanens began informing outside parties that Fluff was a paedophile and directing them to extortion defamation online.

14. October 19th: Unaware of the emails from the Pasanens and working on the assumption the contract was not disputed - Fluff transferred €3,120 to the Pasanens' bank account. This payment, when combined with the advance payment made in 23rd August and the €300 payment from 21st September, covered all arrears and paid the rent in full for October, November, and December 2022, as well as 57% of the rent for January 2023. Per agreement, per the original terms related to any difficulties from sale of Dublin property. An email with a screenshot of the payment receipt was sent to the landlords, consistent with the established protocol.

15. November 12, 2022: Erkki Pasanen sent an email to Fluff, as well as to third parties including property manager Orla Barrett and journalist Porochista Khakpour. This email contained photographs taken from inside the apartment at Abrahaminkatu 17 D 70. These photographs serve as the landlords' own proof that they had illegally entered and trespassed in the apartment during a paid rental period. The landlords' claims in the email regarding Fluff's mental health and the existence of a third party, Piia Maria Willberg (a disadvantaged young Finnish woman experiencing trouble at home), were accompanied by threats to change the locks.

16. November 12 & 26, 2022: The landlords sent further emails demanding that Fluff retrieve their belongings, threats to withhold Fluff’s belongings, threats to stand-over Fluff and force them to pack, and threats to pack for Fluff, and return the keys by a deadline of December 15, 2022. They threatened to use the security deposit to cover the cost of changing the locks.

17. December 12, 2022: Fluff returned to Helsinki and discovered that the Pasanens had, without permission, entered the apartment, packed their belongings, vandalised their belongings, opened and stolen private-postal mail, and removed the security lock from the door.

[redacted]

18. December 21, 2022: The Pasanens attempt to violently force entry into the apartment at Abrahaminkatu 17 D 70 while shouting aggressively. The Pasanen's incriminated themselves in this act as they later pre-emptively claimed to Court this was a maintenance check by KOTIKATU representatives. KOTIKATU have since supplied the maintenance records evidencing there was no maintenance check.

19. December 23, 2022: The Pasanens filed a summons for eviction with the Helsinki District Court (Case W22/53025). At the time of this filing, no rental debt or arrears existed, as the tenancy was paid in full through December 31, 2022. The first summons are incoherent – the first summons demands recognition there was no lease whilst admitting there was a lease. A very biased Judge returned it instructing the Pasanens on edits they had to make.

20. The second and grounding summons for eviction was equally as incoherent: It pointedly deadnamed E.F. Fluff with their birth name name neither of the Pasanens had access to or been given. It abandoned its prior legal logic and introduced new, contradictory claims. In this version, the Pasanens argued that the advance rent payments—which they had previously solicited and accepted—were merely *"irregular payments"* they were against. Furthermore, the summons introduced the claim that the apartment was "messy." This assertion serves as an implicit confession to the crime of illegal trespass, as the landlords could only have obtained this information by unlawfully entering the apartment during a paid and active tenancy.

21. The summons was therefore based on a combination of re-characterising accepted payments and leveraging information obtained through their own illegal acts. The rest of the summons is evidenced defamation.

22. Concurrent, through January 2023 the Pasanens were engaged in a campaign of improper *ex parte* communications with the Helsinki District Court. In these private communications, they actively defamed E.F. Fluff, portraying them as unstable, dangerous, and likely to evade service. As well as evidenced as fraud and misrepresentative comments about E.F. Fluff's financial status. The Pasanens are also evidenced trying to persuade the Court to use Police to serve the summons by repeatedly suggesting that E.F. Fluff. The latter being notable as the Pasanens were aware of E.F. Fluff's Police Brutality PTSD.

23. Outside parties attempted to mediate; all were met with a wall of fraud and defamation.

24. During Court proceedings via attempted outside mediator Paul Öhrnberg the Pasanens demanded:

    a) That E.F. Fluff stop being non-binary for the Pasanens

    b) To know did E.F. Fluff have sexual intercourse with men or women.

    c) That E.F. Fluff agreed to sign a new contract that saw them leave the apartment by early March or the beginning of April the Pasanens would sign the paper for KELA. Otherwise, the Pasanens would continue to obstruct and disrupt E.F. Fluff's access to welfare support and illness leave. Which it was repeatedly stated to E.F. Fluff by Paul Öhrnberg Erkki Pasanen and Erja Pasanen said they had no right to.

    d) E.F. Fluff was to drop all their granted by the Irish Personal Injuries Assessment Board civil compensation cases against the Irish Police.

    e) E.F. Fluff was to forgo or accept the loss of all their personal belongings in Ireland and in Finland.

    f) E.F. Fluff was to sell their Dublin property at a severe and even greater loss than a previous agreement. Perhaps at a loss of 70-80% of the value.

    g) E.F. Fluff was to relinquish their right to Disability Illness Support in Finland, regardless of the fact the injuries happened in Finland.

    h) E.F. Fluff was to leave Finland.

    i) E.F. Fluff was to go live in exile in Thailand.

    j) The Pasanens began telling Paul Öhrnberg and all other outside parties that E.F. Fluff was mad, that they had personal contact with E.F. Fluff's psychiatrist.

    k) The Pasanens began directing Paul Öhrnberg** and all other outside parties to online extortion-defamation cyberstalking related to E.F. Fluff and claiming it was true. This included the Pasanens falsely and maliciously telling outside parties that E.F. Fluff is a paedophile.

    l) The Pasanens began telling outside parties that they were personally acquainted with E.F. Fluff’s long-estranged male parent.

    m) The Pasanens began to speak for KELA and making false claims about E.F. Fluff and claiming they were direct from KELA.

    n) The Pasanens began falsely telling outside parties, including the Helsinki District Court that they had been contacted by the Dublin Police about

E.F. FLUFF

[redacted]

E.F. Fluff.*

**Erkki Pasanen later admitted to Anna Vuorivirta this was a lie; but resumed this specific defamation once inside the Helsinki District Court.*

***Subsequent to the attempted mediation Paul Öhrnberg cut some sort of deal with the Pasanens and began to try and force E.F. Fluff into compromising and illegal situations including but not limited to trying to bully Fluff into buying sixteen grams of "pure MDMA". All of this is evidenced via chat logs and phone recordings. Paul Öhrnberg went on to be used by the Pasanens as evidence during the 19th of June.*

4. Hate Crime Note:

From approximately February 2023 onward, Erkki and Erja Pasanen were very open to outside parties that their statement for summons was fraudulent. Both Pasanens proudly informed people that the matter *"was not about the money"* and cited the reasons being Fluff's sexuality, gender-identity, queerness, mental health, disability, legal-political activity against the Police, and false claims Fluff was a paedophile and wanted criminal.

These admissions of fraud carried forward, proudly, to the 2nd of June and 19th of June Court dates. The Pasanens frequently dismissed the wording of their own submissions to proudly state the eviction was based in hatred and discrimination and that discrimination was their legal right. This included proud admissions that their filings were false and fraudulent, and that they were committing perjury.

5. W22/53025 – Hellish proceedings:

1. I'll spare you the horrific and unbelievable details of the Judicial prejudice and misconduct during the ensuing written proceedings, and then Court proceedings, and the conduct of the opposing side.
2. Collusion between a now former-Helsinki Legal Aid lawyer and the Judge saw me permanently banned from public legal aid. This is ongoing -

   https://youtu.be/x_eTlZle0Sc?si=QUf2q9RPzn7g950m - Helsinki Legal Aid: Lauri Huumonen explains Judge Kirsi Kohonen ordering I get no legal aid. (2023)

   https://youtu.be/vVPf6pIUiPk?si=4LrUtLNp62DtQOwu - Finland: Helsinki Legal Aid: Banned from legal aid due to Jussi Lyrra. [Without introduction] (2024)
3. I had one Judge (Camilla Rauma-Sehm) actually begin mediation by leading the mediation room in jeering me and laughing at me for being non-binary. I have audio

[redacted] recording of that.

4. The only reason recordings of the Court sessions exist is because I was so incredulous I recorded it. A data protection request resulted in the clerks telling me Kohonen had requested the Court D.A.R to be turned off.
5. I had my disabled status and rights ignored, refused, language rights weaponised. It was a nightmarish experience.

6. W22/53025 – The Judgment and its Financial Flaws:

1. **The Judgment is Financially and Factually Void:**
   The enforcement order from Helsinki District Court is not merely unjust; it is financially and factually void. Its entire reasoning is built upon a single, demonstrably false premise—that "*rent has not been paid starting from 1 January 2023*"—a type of material factual error that the Finnish Enforcement Act is explicitly designed to prevent from leading to wrongful collection.
2. **The Premise is Directly Contradicted by Verifiable Evidence:**
   Bank records, and the landlords' own SMS acknowledgements prove that rent was paid in full through December 31, 2022, with a credit covering 57% of January 2023. The Court simultaneously wilfully ignored this evidence, claimed it was unclear if it existed, when the evidence had been provided, and even displayed on the Court screen. Rendering the Judgment's foundational financial finding a fabrication.
3. **The Premise is again contradicted by the Landlords' Own Admissions and recorded correspondence:**
   The Court's finding is directly refuted by verifiable bank records, and payment notifications sent via SMS and email, and, most critically, the Pasanens' own written statement to the Court. In their filing dated 17 April 2023, the landlords admit to receiving substantial funds after the lease was supposedly terminated.
   They state: "*Irregular and variously timed payments have been made by the defendant or on behalf of the defendant, which we consider to be compensation for the illegal possession of our apartment... The latest performance was on 19.10.2022 when tilillemme tuli tuntemattomasta lähteesä 3120€... This is therefore not rent but compensation for the illegal possession of the apartment, as there is no lease agreement.*"
   ←I'm sorry but this is a description of raw naked theft. In that context - they stole the money.
4. This is a direct admission of receiving the funds. Their unilateral decision to re-classify this money as "*compensation*" *is a self-serving legal fiction, not a factual*

denial of payment. The Court's finding that "rent has not been paid" is therefore a direct contradiction of the evidence submitted by the plaintiffs themselves.

5. **What's actually worse here – is that:**

    a) The Pasanens began Court waving about the very email from me that notified them of the 3120€. Which they referred to repeatedly, it had payment proof attachments that were displayed on the Court screen.

    b) I provided the Court with the evidence of the three payments Judge Kohonen hinges her entire Judgment on as "*unclear*".

    c) See attached – The Pasanens accepted advance payment on the 23rd of August, then again payment 21st of September. The Pasanens are recorded asking for rent for September and October despite the contract not being formalised (the second month of the new rental period—that's a concluded contract)

    d) Not only were the Pasanens notified of payment by me, via SMS and email. Outside parties also notified them, and there is record of that. The statements made are falsehoods and fraud of the Enforcement Act.

    e) What's worse again—is outside friends and supporters of mine paid money to the Pasanens, so the full figure of what was paid for the rest of January and February may not be known. Due to the police raids, I lose access to the accounts used to communicate with those people. But more than one person came forward to ask for their IBAN and say they were paying them money. That's fraud.

    f) The Pasanens claim they got money from an unknown source into their bank account then never reported it? That's also illegal. These sort of things the Enforcement Act is supposed to prevent and safeguard against. The Anti-Money laundering Act does the rest there.

6. **Incorrect Financial Records Demand Immediate Rectification:**
   The incorrect and maliciously misreported financial figures—and the false premise of the Judgment that rests upon them—must either effectively nullify the enforcement action or trigger immediate rectification under the Enforcement Act. Because the eviction and the monetary award for January–June 2023 are predicated entirely on this falsehood, they have no legal foundation. An order based on a material factual error that the Enforcement Act is designed to prevent is void. The issue is not the severity of the ruling but its complete lack of a factual basis, which mandates correction by the enforcement authority.

7. **The Judgment is an Instrument of Admitted Fraud:**
   The Pasanens obtained this judgment through perjury. They knew rent had been

[redacted]

paid but proceeded on the false claim that it had not. And they did so because I am non-binary, disabled, bisexual, and queer, and they told any outside party who would listen to them this. Their repeated, proud admissions to third parties and in open Court that their actions were motivated by illegal discrimination—and were "*not about the money*"—confirm that the legal process was abused to execute a fraudulent, hate-motivated agenda.

Not only did the Pasanens essentially accept the payment knowing exactly that it came from me. They likely accepted more from my friends. But they also then – having accepted the payment, blocked themselves from further payment. And directly communicated to me they would use their contacts at KELA to disrupt my welfare. To be clear, that's not just basic welfare – that included a treatment and recovery plan. And they gloated about preventing a disabled person from accessing medical care and rehabilitation.

8. **A matryoshka of malicious fabrication:**
   The Pasanens embarked on this bizarre war that I was claiming I was going to stay in the apartment forever. Not once, not ever was that stated. I asked to stay at the agreed Contract so I could recover. I was in bad shape post-hunger strike, and I had infected third degree burns up my legs. I ever offered to renovate their kitchen and bathroom to a tune of 10,000€ if they simply allowed me to recuperate. The Pasanens responded by fraudulently weaponising the Enforcement Act to terrorise me and make my life a living misery.

9. **The Judge's False Premise:**
   Judge Kirsi Kohonen's entire decision rests on her explicit finding that the rent payment situation for September and October 2022 was "*unclear*" and that I had not fulfilled my payment obligations.

10. **The Judicial Misconduct:**
    Judge Kohonen had the documentary evidence—bank receipts, emails, and SMS logs—in the court record. By ignoring this hard evidence and declaring the matter "*unclear,*" she failed in her primary duty to adjudicate based on the facts presented. A Judge cannot simply ignore evidence that disproves a claimant's case. In doing so, her judgment did not resolve a dispute; it ratified a fraud.

By taking the claimants' fraudulent statements at face value and actively ignoring the clear, contrary evidence on the record, Judge Kohonen failed in her fundamental duty. She did not adjudicate the facts; she ratified a fraud. A judgment based on the conscious disregard of evidence is not a product of Law; it is an administrative decree that is void of legitimacy. However—that aside—via the Enforcement Act there is an onus on Ulosottolaitos to rectify the Public Record and the Figures.

[redacted]

11. **The "*Two Things*" Fallacy of Judge Kohonen's Ruling:**
    Judge Kohonen's entire judgment in case W22/53025 rests on her success in artificially separating the tenancy into two distinct periods and applying different, contradictory logic to each. The Judgment is financially incoherent.

    a) **Thing One:** The "*Unclear*" Period of September–October 2022
    Action: Judge Kohonen dismisses concrete evidence of payment by labelling it ambiguous. How can bank transfers and statements be ambiguous
    Strategic Purpose: This allows Judge Kohonen to create a narrative of a tenant who had already failed to meet their primary obligation. By refusing to acknowledge the payments for September and October, she manufactures a history of default.

    b) **Thing Two:** The "*Undisputed*" Period from January 2023
    Having established this false history of default, Judge Kohonen then treats the period from 1 January 2023, as a completely separate matter. She states that it is "*undisputed in the case that Fluff continues to use the flat and rent has not been paid starting from 1 January 2023.*" *IF that was the case, the disputed contract should have read "new period beginning 01.01.2023*"
    Action: Judge Kohonen declares non-payment for this period as a simple, uncontested financial enforcement fact.
    Strategic Purpose: This conclusion is only possible because she wilfully ignored the evidence from "*Thing One.*" *The lump-sum payment of €3,120 made in October 2022, which she had just dismissed as "unclear,"* is the very payment that covered 57% of the rent for January 2023. And there was no reason for them not to get paid the rest—except discrimination.

12. **The Legal Absurdity:**
    The two "*things*" are, in reality, one single, continuous issue. The payment status of January 2023 is inextricably linked to the payments made in October 2022. By severing this link, Judge Kohonen was able to:

    a) Disregard the evidence of payment for Autumn 2022 by calling it "*unclear.*" *I'm sorry, but—since when are bank statements showing the transferred "unclear*".

    b) Use that manufactured "*unclarity*" to then falsely claim that no payment had been made for January 2023.

    c) This is the legal sleight of hand at the heart of the fraudulent judgment. She could not have reached her conclusion about January without first dismissing the evidence related to the preceding months. It was a deliberate, two-step process designed to ratify the claimants' fraud.

[redacted]

13. I think I need to say that for the Judgment to be even a fraction based on fact:

    a) The debt owed should be from October into January and onward, not January onward.

    b) A Financial Enforcement Judgment cannot silently simultaneously recognise X period was paid but this period wasn't when paid period also includes finances that covers X of the claimed unpaid period.

    c) The unpaid period is based on abuse and fraud.

    d) And if X was paid for those months, where is it, and why is it not mentioned? *This is actual gibberish. I am trying to communicate something to another sane adult that in trying to communicate it, it's simply gibberish – what she did is* ***gibberish-nasty-mean-minded gibberish.*** *Sorry but, 5 pages of explaining this hate-drivel by an actual sitting Judge. I'm sorry.*

7. Where was the Enforcement Act and Ulosottolaitos in all of this?:

The Finnish Enforcement Act (705/2007) safeguards against wrongful collection by ensuring that enforcement orders rest on solid, verifiable facts and that all procedural safeguards are respected. Its explicit intent is to prevent material factual errors—such as basing an eviction on a false non-payment premise—from resulting in unjust enforcement actions. That's how it is supposed to work.

I understand that Ulosottolaitos is used to people complaining all the time that something is unfair. That happens when money is involved. But that is categorically not what happened in this case to a level of incoherent farce.

2. Ulosottolaitos and the Bailiff's Duty of Impartiality

Ulosottolaitos (the National Enforcement Authority) and its enforcement officers function as neutral executors of lawful court orders. Chapter 1, Section 19 of the Enforcement Code mandates that "in his or her official actions, the bailiff shall act properly and impartially." This dual obligation requires bailiffs to:

1. Verify that all statutory preconditions—notice, accurate debt calculation, valid court order—are satisfied before taking measures.
2. Treat debtors and creditors with equal respect, without favour, bias, or conflict of interest.
3. Decline any gifts, favours or other attempts at influence.
4. Explaining to debtors their right to understand the enforcement process and any avenues of appeal, including providing for disability accommodations where needed.

5. That should also include refraining from exploiting a debtor's physical vulnerabilities or health conditions.

Together, these duties ensure that bailiffs uphold legality and fairness in enforcement proceedings. That did not happen in this case.

3. Approached with evidenced prejudice and negligence: Examination of Enforcement Code in Case W22/53025:

1. Despite **clear evidence**—bank statements, SMS notifications and email receipts—demonstrating full rent payment through December 2022 (including a 3 120 € credit covering a minimum of 57% January 2023). Ulosottolaitos proceeded to enforce a financially void judgment without challenging the factual foundation of the order.
2. **Denied any adjustment:**
   I was treated like a liar. From the very immediate beginning, and completely ignored. Actual bank statements – verifiable – were ignored by Ulosottolaitos.
3. There was no independent verification of the payment records was undertaken by the bailiff before executing the eviction and demanding payment for January–June 2023.
4. The bailiff's actions displayed a **failure to scrutinise** the judgment's underlying facts, contrary to the Enforcement Act's safeguard purpose. I specifically requested the figures be fixed. It was ignored. Ulosottolaitos ignored actual clear undeniable evidence. I can't fake bank statements without serious fraud.
5. **Acted like hired thugs:**
   By enforcing an order founded on a self-serving discriminatory hate-fiction admitted by the Pasanens themselves, Ulosottolaitos effectively ratified a fraudulent claim rather than acting as a neutral arbiter.
6. **Treated like a criminal:**
   To be exactingly clear: from the very start of the enforcement proceedings, I was treated as though I were dishonest and untrustworthy—the lowest of the low. The bailiffs repeatedly dismissed my explanations, implied I was lying without reason, and refused to acknowledge any supporting evidence I provided.
7. **No Right to Understand:**
   I was explicitly told they *"had no right"* to understand the enforcement proceedings. When I queried that, I was sent incorrect Law citations to sections of the Languages Act about railway signage. And directly **lied to** about the Enforcement Code.
8. **Enforcement Code, Chapter 3, Section 112 – Interpretation:**

   > *"In addition to the provisions on interpretation elsewhere in the law, the enforcement authority shall arrange for interpretation, within the available resources, if a party or another person to be heard under this Act cannot be*

[redacted]

understood for reasons of language or a sensory or speech impediment, and the interpretation is necessary for the clearing up of the matter or for the securing of the interests of the person in question. The costs of interpretation shall be paid from State funds."

9. There was absolutely no reason for the Enforcement to begin on such a discriminatory and hateful position. But, the above, I have phone recordings with Ulosottolaitos representatives directly telling me that provision does not exist in the Law, that's an incoherent level of malice.

10. **Denied Disability Accommodations:**
Perokorpi and Tantrimaki carried it out with direct and deliberate prejudice. KELA personally and specifically asked for a delay in enforcement so a decision could be granted for assistance in moving. KELA were literally shocked at the aggression of Ulosottolaitos. This is despite documented spine injuries.

11. **Eviction carried out to cause physical harm:**
During the eviction, bailiffs directly and deliberately and pointedly targetted my spine injuries. I was lifted from my feet by **NECK AND SPINE INJURIES.** That's malice.

12. I'm a medically recognised torture survivor; you'd think – extreme prejudice would be a little lower on the list of *"how to deal with this person"*

13. *It was clearly evidenced and stated to the bailiffs I had been in a car accident and was in extreme difficulty and distress with pain.*

14. **Lied to the Police:**
I recorded the audio of the eviction; the Bailiff's lied to have the presence of the police. I have no history of violence, no criminal record. The police officers were shocked and bemused. That's just thuggery.

15. **Falsification of Public Record in the Final Report:**
Despite being shown the SMS from Erkki Pasanen informing me that any furniture I was not using I could take apart and put in the basement: Perokorpi still recorded that all the items in the basement locker were mine, my rubbish. That's defamation. I left nothing behind, and cleaned the apartment top to bottom. I requested that to be changed to represent the actual factual truth. Ulosottolaitos refused. That's incoherent – that's malicious falsification of public record for no other reason than to cause reputational harm.

4. Long-term material evidenced harm:

Let's recap for a moment:
The Pasanens demanded I stop being non-binary and queer for them. Is that what Ulosottolaitos is now**-the Anti-Queer Enforcement Agency**?

[redacted]

Before examining the lasting harm, recall that the Pasanens abused the Enforcement Act as part of a hate-motivated scheme. They repeatedly told third parties—and boasted in court—that their actions were driven by hate toward E.F. Fluff's non-binary gender identity, disability, bisexuality, and queer status. They gave speeches on how it was all based on hate. They were going around telling outside parties that I was a paedophile to justify their hate crimes. That's a ridiculous level of defamation and malice.

This conscious weaponisation of the legal process transformed a civil dispute into a targeted hate crime.

Ulosottolaitos' bias and hateful prejudice in that enforcement essentially unleashed a *calamity of horror* on me from which I still have not even halfway recovered. Ulosottolaitos is supposed to act as safeguard against this type of abuse and fraud. Instead it enforced it on me. The bailiffs' decision to enforce a judgment they knew to be fraudulent and to ignore clear evidence of payment did not merely inflict a temporary setback; it triggered a cascade of material harms that have persisted and compounded over time.

1. **Irreversible Damage to Housing Stability:**
   Does Ulosottolaitos think it is ethical, humane, or legal for friends & associates of the Pasanens working at KELA to be phoning me to demand I go to a homeless shelter *for the Pasanens?* Is that the Finland Ulosottolaitos is proud of being a State Representative of? Are the Pasanens highly ranked legislative writing members of Ulosottolaitos or *just two-nasty-old-hate-filled-criminal-fraudster bigots?*

2. **Forced into the current situation of coercive control abuse:**
   Without the clarity and rectification that Ulosottolaitos is supposed to safeguard, this forced me into a coercive control abuse housing trap, which is now playing out across the Courts, and which Ulosottolaitos Bailiff Nicolas Moore has more explicit description of. It left a manifestly vulnerable person with a compromised immune system, with valid B-Certificates with the option of:

   a) Intravenous drug-user riddled homeless shelter.
      or
   b) Trapped and powerless at the mercy of an abuser.

   I mean, perhaps that was intentional, if so, I guess, *mission accomplished.* But that's Hobson's Choice, and that's a form of direct and deliberate cruelty, and the Enforcement Code forbids that.

3. **Falsified Public Record:**
   Public record regarding me is now falsified and ratified by Ulosottolaitos. I leave trash behind, I didn't pay etc. None of that is true. **None of it.** And now I struggle with those defamations and lies. It acts as a form of character assassination.

[redacted]

4. **Inability to financially recover:**
   As it was directly intended – this situation and fraud ratified by Ulosottolaitos for Erkki and Erja Pasanen has completely upended my financial situation, and created again, a situation where I was left exposed and vulnerable to financial domestic violence as is now playing out in W24/41272. Was that intended, did Ulosottolaitos intend to send me into an unending tailspin of destitution?
5. **Agents of International Legal Obstruction:**
   In acting like low-level hired thugs for Erkki Pasanen and Erja Pasanen—Ulosottolaitos have acted as agents to directly obstruct civil and criminal human rights proceedings against viciously corrupt and brutal Irish Police Officers. The Pasanens directly and loudly demanded I drop all pending civil compensation claims against the Irish Police, as if personal injury litigation in Ireland bore on a Finnish rental dispute. That was a core point of their hate. Just the police compensation in that is/was worth 12,000,000€. Is Ulosottolaitos going to help pick up that tab for the Pasanens when all the dust clears?
6. And is that another thing that Ulosottolaitos are enforcing for Erkki and Erja Pasanen? That they get to decide who has access to Justice, and what torturing sexually assaulting cops get protected?
7. **Weaponised Thuggery:**
   Yeah, I might have been clearly in distress when I was handling the matter. But behind that there were several valid very exhaustive B Certificates. Finnish law prohibits abuse of authority and hate crimes. When government agents or their associates participate in defamation (which is a core component of the Pasanens Hate Crime) and coercion, they cross the line from civil enforcement into criminal territory. Ulosottolaitos's failure to intervene on even the most basic level-correct a figure, don't put into permanent record that I left trash behind when I left **nothing behind** transformed Ulosottolaitos mandate into a weapon against a vulnerable disabled LGBTQIA+ person. And what's more – the bailiffs in question did it gladly and willingly.
8. **Institutional Accountability:**
   To answer my own prior question: the Pasanens are not legislative members of Ulosottolaitos. They are private individuals abusing the system. Ulosottolaitos is responsible for upholding legal and human-rights standards—it's not supposed to be there enforcing their breaches for people like the Pasanens.
9. **Psychological harm:**
   The enforcement and the behaviour described was willing and gladly done, with explicit cruelty—for absolutely no evidenced reason, and made as distressing as possible. That has, without any exaggeration damaged me, and created an oppositional hostile distrust, which Nicolas Moore can easily evidence from my

[redacted]

opening correspondence in reply to him. And I am immensely, **immensely** thankful for Mr. Moore's patience on that side of things.

8. What I am seeking from Ulosottolaitos:

8.1. A Full Investigation to Ascertain the Correct Financial Ledger:

The enforcement order was based on the false premise that rent was unpaid from 1 January 2023. It does not matter if this is viewed as *only fifty-seven percent of January.* This premise was contradicted by evidence of payment provided to the Court and acknowledged by the Pasanens themselves. Ulosottolaitos was, and remains, duty-bound to ensure the legitimacy of the debt it collects.

A. **Action Required**:
Ulosottolaitos must exercise its authority under the Enforcement Code to conduct a full accounting of all payments made to the Pasanens for the tenancy period in question. This includes compelling the disclosure of any additional funds received from third parties, such as my friends and supporters, who made payments on my behalf. Maybe it was none, perhaps the situation escalated so fast they did not. However Ulosottolaitos was duty-bound by Law to get the full information on the correct figures. It still should have been checked.

B. **Legal Basis**:

C. **Chapter 2, Section 1** obliges the bailiff to request *"supplementary information from the parties if there is doubt as to the expiration of the right."* Given the evidence of payment, such doubt was clearly established, triggering this duty to investigate.

D. **Chapter 3, Section 66** grants the bailiff the right to obtain necessary information from third parties, which should have been invoked to verify payments made by my supporters.

This investigation will also irrefutably prove that the Pasanens received payment notifications via SMS and email, confirming their perjury before the Court.

8.2. Rectification of the Enforcement Record and Annulment of the Financially Void Order:

The failure to account for payments already made renders the entire enforcement action financially void. An order based on a demonstrable falsehood cannot be lawfully executed.

A. **Action Required**:
Upon confirming the true payment status, Ulosottolaitos must correct the enforcement amount to reflect the actual, adjusted balance.

[redacted]

B. **Legal Basis**:

- If the investigation confirms that the grounds for the judgment were factually non-existent at the time of the order, the enforcement action is void.
- Should Ulosottolaitos determine it cannot unilaterally nullify a void judgment, it must then refer the matter to the appropriate judicial body (e.g., the Administrative Court or back to the District Court) for formal annulment, consistent with the principles of reversal and restitution outlined in **Chapter 2, Sections 15–17** of the Enforcement Code.

The duty of Ulosottolaitos is not to blindly execute orders, but to act as a legal safeguard to prevent wrongful collection based on material factual errors. Respectfully, I have to demand that Ulosottolaitos now fulfil this statutory obligation, and give me back the part of my life that this entire farce of hate crimes stole from me.

8.3. Correction of the Falsified Eviction Final Report on Property Condition:

The official protocol of the eviction, created by the bailiff, contains material falsehoods regarding the condition of the basement storage locked including defamatory claims that it was left filled with trash. This is part of a pattern of falsifying public record.

A. **Action Required**:
Ulosottolaitos must formally amend the eviction protocol from 9 October 2023, to strike the false claims about the property's condition. The record must be corrected to reflect that the apartment was left in an orderly state, a fact which is supported by audio and photographic evidence from the day of the eviction.

B. **Legal Basis**:
The bailiff has a duty to create an accurate record of enforcement measures under **Chapter 10, Section 1, Chapter 10 Section 3 of the Enforcement Code** Knowingly entering false information constitutes a breach of an official’s duty and violates the **Administrative Procedure Act**, which provides for the correction of material factual errors in official documents. Failure to correct this record perpetuates a damaging falsehood.

8.4 Grounds for Compensation:

The cascade of institutional failure, official misconduct, and claimant fraud detailed in this case gives rise to substantial grounds for compensation under Finnish law. The harm inflicted was not the result of a single error, but a series of interconnected breaches of duty by Ulosottolaitos, its officers, and the claimants (the Pasanens). Compensation is therefore sought from multiple parties, based on distinct legal obligations. The Enforcement Code

[redacted]

(705/2007) itself, under **Chapter 3, Section 111**, provides a direct basis for this claim against the state.

8.4.A. **State Liability for Wrongful Enforcement:**

The State of Finland bears direct liability for the damages caused by Ulosottolaitos's wrongful execution of a financially void judgment based on perjury. The enforcement authority is not merely a passive instrument; it has a duty to act with due diligence

1. **Basis for Claim**:
   The enforcement was based on a judgment (W22/53025) that was factually void, a reality proven by evidence that was available to, but ignored by, the bailiff. Proceeding with the eviction in the face of such clear factual discrepancies constitutes a culpable error in the exercise of public authority, triggering state liability.
2. **Legal Framework**:
   - **The Tort Liability Act (412/1974)** holds the state liable for damages resulting from negligence or error by its officials.
   - **The Enforcement Code (705/2007), Chapter 3, Section 111**, specifically allows for compensation to be paid from state funds where state liability is clear or payment is otherwise deemed reasonable.

8.4.B. **Damages for Bailiff Misconduct and Tort**

Separate from the wrongful enforcement itself, the personal conduct of the bailiff during the eviction constitutes a direct tort against me.

1. **Basis for Claim**:
   The bailiff's actions—including the deliberate targeting of my documented spinal injuries, the refusal to grant disability accommodations, and the demeaning and abusive treatment—were intentional acts that caused both physical harm and severe mental distress.
2. **Legal Framework**:
   - **Tort Liability Act**: The bailiff's conduct provides grounds for a personal claim for damages, including for personal injury, pain and suffering, and humiliation.
   - **Non-Discrimination Act**: To the extent that this abusive treatment was linked to my gender identity, sexuality disability, or other protected characteristics, it constitutes illegal discrimination, for which separate compensation can be awarded.

[redacted]

8.4.C. **Claimant Liability for Fraudulent and Malicious Action**

The primary architects of this harm were the Pasanens, who initiated the legal process through perjury and fraud. Once the underlying judgment is formally annulled, they are liable for all consequent damages. The Enforcement Code directly addresses

1. **Basis for Claim**:
   The Pasanens obtained the judgment by knowingly presenting false information to the Court and concealing payments they had received. Their actions were not a good-faith legal dispute but a malicious abuse of process, motivated by illegal discrimination.
2. **Legal Framework**:
   - **Enforcement Code, Chapter 2, Section 17**, establishes that the applicant (the Pasanens) is liable for damages if enforcement is carried out based on a judgment that is later overturned or annulled.
   - **Act on Compensation for Crime Damage (935/1973)**: As their actions constitute criminal fraud and potentially a hate crime, they are liable to compensate for all damages stemming from these criminal acts.

8.4.D. **Categories of Recoverable Damages**

Compensation is sought for the full spectrum of harm inflicted, which includes:

1. **Material Damages**: All quantifiable financial losses, including legal fees, the cost of the unlawful eviction, loss of property, and loss of income and opportunity.
2. **Personal Injury**: Damages for the physical harm and pain caused, specifically the aggravation of my pre-existing spinal condition.
3. **Reputational Damage:** Damages for the reputational harm this hate-crime farce has caused.
4. **Pain and Suffering**: Substantial compensation for the severe psychological distress, humiliation, and anxiety caused by the unnecessary violent eviction, prejudiced treatment, loss of my home, the abusive conduct of the bailiffs, and the underlying discriminatory harassment.

8.4.E. **Referral for Criminal Investigation (Fraud and Perjury):**

The Pasanens obtained a judgment for eviction and monetary damages by knowingly presenting false information to the Helsinki District Court and, subsequently, to Ulosottolaitos. Specifically, they claimed non-payment of rent while concealing their receipt of funds that covered the period in question. This constitutes a suspected offence of fraud committed within the enforcement proceeding. Under **Chapter 3, Section 72 of the Enforcement Code**, the bailiff

has the authority and duty to refer such matters to the pre-trial investigation authorities and the prosecutor

8.4.F. **Referral for Tax Investigation (Tax Fraud):**

1. The Pasanens' own written statement to the Court, where they admit to receiving €3,120 from an "unknown source" and unilaterally re-classifying it as "compensation for illegal possession" rather than rent, is an admission of receiving undeclared income. It is illegal to retain finances from an *“unknown source”.*
2. By their own account, they have retained funds without accounting for them as rental income. This claim provides a clear basis to suspect tax evasion or fraud.
3. Under **Chapter 3, Section 71 of the Enforcement Code**, the enforcement authority has the right to disclose information to the tax authorities when it is needed for the consideration of a tax matter.
4. Given that the Pasanens' own statements form the basis for these suspicions, it is imperative that Ulosottolaitos fulfils its statutory obligation to report these matters for formal investigation.

5. Escalation to the European Commission and Mitigation of Ulosottolaitos Liability

I have been held a prisoner to the hate crime perpetrated by the Pasanens since October 2022. It has created a situation that left me entirely vulnerable to the coercive control abuse I now suffer from Anna Vuorivirta. It has damaged me on an existential level. It is an ongoing damage and material harm I have to deal with every day. The Pasanens even vandalised my computer equipment, the sole surviving archives from my nightmare of police brutality; destroying my last access to some of my surviving creative and life’s work.

The opposing party—Erkki Pasanen and Erja Pasanen were involved in a hate crime because they found out I was bisexual, queer, disabled, non-binary, and involved in action against the Irish Police—and they told anyone who would listen this hate-crime fact.

I was further placed into a horrific position in December 2023; when shortly after filing a countersuit against the Pasanens, a man named Juha Kukkonen appeared claiming connection to the Police *(the Pasanens have a brother who is a high ranking officer apparently).* The following is direct excerpt from Helsinki Court of Appeals document from R25/888;

> *“...Gate A21 bouncer named Juha Kukkonen who showed interest in the Appellant's legal issues with the Appellant's landlords. Kukkonen visited the Appellant's apartment for an after-work beer and emphatically stated that the Appellant's life was in danger, even suggesting the possibility of murder - telling the Appellant they*

[redacted]

were not safe, and could be grabbed, taken to a boat, shot and dumped into the sea.

Kukkonen then offered the Appellant a bribe of €290,000 to drop the case against the Appellant's landlords. When the Appellant refused, Kukkonen stated he knew an older man who was high ranked ex-police, and he wanted to organise a meeting between the two of them, whilst continually warning the Appellant they were in danger."

Is that genuinely what Ulosottolaitos wants to be connected with: people trying to bribe vulnerable queer disabled people versus the threat to be shot in the head and dumped into Helsinki Bay...*after some "light torture"?*

The failure of Finland's domestic institutions—from the District Court to Ulosottolaitos—to provide remedy for these clear violations of law and human rights forces an escalation of this matter to the European level.

The wrongful enforcement of a fraudulent judgment, the falsification of public record, compounded by the discriminatory and abusive conduct of state officials, has had catastrophic consequences. It has left me, a recognised torture survivor with documented disabilities, trapped in a subsequent, and ongoing, situation of coercive control abuse at the hands of Anna Vuorivirta. This outcome is a direct result of the state's failure to uphold its duties.

Therefore, as advised by the European Network on Independent Living (ENIL), a formal complaint will be filed with the European Commission. This complaint will focus on Finland's failure to correctly implement and enforce EU law, particularly concerning:

A. The fundamental rights of persons with disabilities to non-discrimination, independent living, and access to justice, as enshrined in the UN Convention on the Rights of Persons with Disabilities (UNCRPD), to which Finland and the EU are parties.

B. The state's obligation to provide effective remedies against unlawful administrative actions and judicial errors.

The purpose of this present submission to Ulosottolaitos is twofold:

A. **First:** to demand the specific, lawful remedies outlined herein. That the Enforcement Code be actually followed and applied.

B. **Second:** to provide the Ulosottolaitos with a final opportunity to correct its own manifest errors and mitigate its exposure to sanction at the European level.
By taking decisive action now—by correcting the public record, holding its officials accountable, and initiating the appropriate criminal and tax referrals—Ulosottolaitos can demonstrate a commitment to the rule of law and potentially lessen the severity

[redacted]

of the findings by the European Commission—and place considerable distance between itself and the Helsinki District Court.

Failure to act will be presented to the Commission as further evidence of Finland's systemic refusal to provide justice in this matter, and to be frank, judging from this, *any matter.* Except that is, for people like the Pasanens, who get to make up the Law as they go along.

E.F. Fluff
16.10.2025
Helsinki

VUOKRANMAKSUTOSITTEET VUOSI 2022

NÄISTÄ TOSITTEISTA NÄYTETÄÄN TOTEEN, ETTÄ E.F.FLUFF ON MAKSANUT KANTAJILLE 480 EUROA (57% KUUKAUSIVUOKRASTA) LIIKAA, JOTEN SE KATTAA 57 % TAMMIKUUN 2023 VUOKRASTA.

ULOSOTTOPÄÄTÖS ON VIRHEELLINEN, VUOKRAA EI VOIDA PERIÄ ALKAEN 2.1.2023 JA KANTAJAT OVAT VALEHDELLEET OIKEUDELLE SEKÄ ULOSOTTOMIEHELLE VASTAANOTTMISTAAN RAHOISTA.

VUOKRANMAKSUTOSITTEET 2022, jotka ovat E.F.Fluffin hallussa	
Niitä voi olla enemmänkin, koska Fluffia ovat eri ihmiset tukeneet vuoden 2022 aikana	
PÄIVÄMÄÄRÄ	SUMMA
28.01.2022;Erkki Pasanen;Tilisiirto;EF Fluff deposit+1mth rent ;-2 700	2700
02.03.2022;Erkki Pasanen;Tilisiirto;Rent1 ;-400	400
04.03.2022;Erkki Pasanen;Tilisiirto;Rent March 2 ;-200	200
21.03.2022;Erkki Pasanen;Tilisiirto;rent 3 ;-400	400
21.3.Rahansiirto NewYorkista, jonka vuokranantaja kuittasi saapuneeksi	240
01.04.2022;Erkki Pasanen;Tilisiirto;Rent ;-440	440
19.04.2022;Erkki Pasanen;Tilisiirto;EF Fluff Rent 4 advance ;-200	200
2.5.2023; Erkki P; Tilisiirto, Rent May	640
19.05.2022;Erkki Pasanen;Tilisiirto;Advance Rent June ;-200	200
07.06.2022;Erkki Pasanen;Tilisiirto;Rent 2 June thx ;-640	640
17.06.2022;Erkki Pasanen;Tilisiirto;EF FLUFF July advance ;-200	200
22.06.2022;Erkki Pasanen;Tilisiirto;EF Fluff July 2 ;-100	100
04.07.2022;Erkki Pasanen;Tilisiirto;Rent July 3 ;-240	240
23.08.2022;Erkki Pasanen;Tilisiirto;rent ;-1 380	1380
21.09.2022;Erkki Pasanen;Tilisiirto;EF Fluff September 2 ;-300	300
19.10.2022 Paypal rahansiirto, kuitit	3120
YHTEENSÄ MAKSETTUJA SUORITUKSIA / TOTAL MONEY PAID	11400
TAKUUVUOKRA 2KK / Deposit 2 months	1680
VUOKRA 11KK/ Rent 11 months	9240
VUOKRALAISEN VELVOITTEET /TOTAL MONEY OWED 1.2.-31.12.2022	10920
RAHAA SIIRTYNYT YHTEENSÄ / TOTAL PAID IN RENT 2022	9720
VUOKRAA MAKSETTU ETUKÄTEEN TAMMIKUULLE 2023	480
57% of the rent was paid in advance for January	
57% tammikuun 2023 vuokrasta oli maksettu etukäteen	

Tilitapahtuma

Tämä on asiakkaan tulostama kuittikopio.

Saajan nimi	Erkki Pasanen	**Veloituspäivä**	27.01.2022
Saajan osoite		**Kirjauspäivä**	28.01.2022
Saajan maa		**Arvopäivä**	28.01.2022
Saajan tilinumero	[redacted]	**Saajan tunniste**	
Saajan pankin BIC-/SWIFT-koodi	[redacted]	**Lopullisen saajan nimi**	
Maksajan nimi	Fluff E.F.	**Maksajan tunniste**	
Maksajan tilinumero		**Alkuperäisen maksajan nimi**	
Viite		**Maksajan viite**	[redacted]
Viesti	EF Fluff deposit+1mth rent	**Arkistointitunnus**	
Määrä	-2 700,00 EUR		
Selite	Tilisiirto		

Tilitapahtuma

Tämä on asiakkaan tulostama kuittikopio.

Saajan nimi	Erkki Pasanen	**Veloituspäivä**	02.03.2022
Saajan osoite		**Kirjauspäivä**	02.03.2022
Saajan maa		**Arvopäivä**	02.03.2022
Saajan tilinumero		**Saajan tunniste**	
Saajan pankin BIC-/SWIFT-koodi		**Lopullisen saajan nimi**	
Maksajan nimi	Fluff E.F.	**Maksajan tunniste**	
Maksajan tilinumero		**Alkuperäisen maksajan nimi**	
Viite		**Maksajan viite**	
Viesti	Rent1	**Arkistointitunnus**	
Määrä	-400,00 EUR		
Selite	Tilisiirto		

Tilitapahtuma

Tämä on asiakkaan tulostama kuittikopio.

Saajan nimi	Erkki Pasanen	**Veloituspäivä**	03.03.2022
Saajan osoite		**Kirjauspäivä**	04.03.2022
Saajan maa		**Arvopäivä**	04.03.2022
Saajan tilinumero		**Saajan tunniste**	
Saajan pankin BIC-/SWIFT-koodi		**Lopullisen saajan nimi**	
Maksajan nimi	Fluff E.F.	**Maksajan tunniste**	
Maksajan tilinumero		**Alkuperäisen maksajan nimi**	
Viite		**Maksajan viite**	
Viesti	Rent March 2	**Arkistointitunnus**	
Määrä	-200,00 EUR		
Selite	Tilisiirto		

Tilitapahtuma

Tämä on asiakkaan tulostama kuittikopio.

Saajan nimi	Erkki Pasanen	**Veloituspäivä**	21.03.2022
Saajan osoite		**Kirjauspäivä**	21.03.2022
Saajan maa		**Arvopäivä**	21.03.2022
Saajan tilinumero	[redacted]	**Saajan tunniste**	
Saajan pankin BIC-/SWIFT-koodi	[redacted]	**Lopullisen saajan nimi**	
Maksajan nimi	Fluff E.F.	**Maksajan tunniste**	
Maksajan tilinumero		**Alkuperäisen maksajan nimi**	
Viite		**Maksajan viite**	
Viesti	rent 3	**Arkistointitunnus**	[redacted]
Määrä	-400,00 EUR		
Selite	Tilisiirto		

Gmail

Anna Vuorivirta [redacted]

Fwd: Rent 3 - A

[redacted]
Vast. ott.: Anna Vuorivirta [redacted]

22. syyskuuta 2023 klo 0.31

Begin forwarded message:

From: "Erkki Pasanen" [redacted]
Subject: Re: Rent 3 - A
Date: 21 March 2022 at 17:45:22 GMT+2
[redacted]

Hi

Thank you. 240€ arrived from NY.

<- They had no problem with money from New York in March

Erkki

[redacted]

On Monday, March 21, 2022, 03:41:39 PM GMT+2, [redacted]

Hi,

€400 advance on following month of April has been transferred today.

Apologies for the delays.

Could you confirm you received the additional €240 to complete March.

Sincerely

E.F. Fluff

Tilitapahtuma

Tämä on asiakkaan tulostama kuittikopio.

Saajan nimi	Erkki Pasanen	**Veloituspäivä**	01.04.2022
Saajan osoite		**Kirjauspäivä**	01.04.2022
Saajan maa		**Arvopäivä**	01.04.2022
Saajan tilinumero	[redacted]	**Saajan tunniste**	
Saajan pankin BIC-/SWIFT-koodi	[redacted]	**Lopullisen saajan nimi**	
Maksajan nimi	Fluff E.F.	**Maksajan tunniste**	
Maksajan tilinumero		**Alkuperäisen maksajan nimi**	
Viite		**Maksajan viite**	
Viesti	Rent	**Arkistointitunnus**	[redacted]
Määrä	-440,00 EUR		
Selite	Tilisiirto		

Tilitapahtuma

Tämä on asiakkaan tulostama kuittikopio.

Saajan nimi	Erkki Pasanen	**Veloituspäivä**	19.04.2022
Saajan osoite		**Kirjauspäivä**	19.04.2022
Saajan maa		**Arvopäivä**	19.04.2022
Saajan tilinumero	[redacted]	**Saajan tunniste**	
Saajan pankin BIC-/SWIFT-koodi	[redacted]	**Lopullisen saajan nimi**	
Maksajan nimi	Fluff E.F.	**Maksajan tunniste**	
Maksajan tilinumero		**Alkuperäisen maksajan nimi**	
Viite		**Maksajan viite**	
Viesti	EF Fluff Rent 4 advance	**Arkistointitunnus**	[redacted]
Määrä	-200,00 EUR		
Selite	Tilisiirto		

Tilitapahtuma

Tämä on asiakkaan tulostama kuittikopio.

Saajan nimi	Erkki P	**Veloituspäivä**	02.05.2022
Saajan osoite		**Kirjauspäivä**	02.05.2022
Saajan maa		**Arvopäivä**	02.05.2022
Saajan tilinumero	[redacted]	**Saajan tunniste**	
Saajan pankin BIC-/SWIFT-koodi	[redacted]	**Lopullisen saajan nimi**	
Maksajan nimi	Fluff E.F.	**Maksajan tunniste**	
Maksajan tilinumero		**Alkuperäisen maksajan nimi**	
Viite		**Maksajan viite**	
Viesti	Rent May 2	**Arkistointitunnus**	[redacted]
Määrä	-640,00 EUR		
Selite	Tilisiirto		

Tilitapahtuma

Tämä on asiakkaan tulostama kuittikopio.

Saajan nimi	Erkki Pasanen	**Veloituspäivä**	18.05.2022
Saajan osoite		**Kirjauspäivä**	19.05.2022
Saajan maa		**Arvopäivä**	19.05.2022
Saajan tilinumero	[redacted]	**Saajan tunniste**	
Saajan pankin BIC-/SWIFT-koodi	[redacted]	**Lopullisen saajan nimi**	
Maksajan nimi	Fluff E.F.	**Maksajan tunniste**	
Maksajan tilinumero		**Alkuperäisen maksajan nimi**	
Viite		**Maksajan viite**	
Viesti	Advance Rent June	**Arkistointitunnus**	[redacted]
Määrä	-200,00 EUR		
Selite	Tilisiirto		

Tilitapahtuma

Tämä on asiakkaan tulostama kuittikopio.

Saajan nimi	Erkki Pasanen	**Veloituspäivä**	07.06.2022
Saajan osoite		**Kirjauspäivä**	07.06.2022
Saajan maa		**Arvopäivä**	07.06.2022
Saajan tilinumero	[redacted]	**Saajan tunniste**	
Saajan pankin BIC-/SWIFT-koodi	[redacted]	**Lopullisen saajan nimi**	
Maksajan nimi	Fluff E.F.	**Maksajan tunniste**	
Maksajan tilinumero		**Alkuperäisen maksajan nimi**	
Viite		**Maksajan viite**	
Viesti	Rent 2 June thx	**Arkistointitunnus**	[redacted]
Määrä	-640,00 EUR		
Selite	Tilisiirto		

Tilitapahtuma

Tämä on asiakkaan tulostama kuittikopio.

Saajan nimi	Erkki Pasanen	**Veloituspäivä**	17.06.2022
Saajan osoite		**Kirjauspäivä**	17.06.2022
Saajan maa		**Arvopäivä**	17.06.2022
Saajan tilinumero	[redacted]	**Saajan tunniste**	
Saajan pankin BIC-/SWIFT-koodi	[redacted]	**Lopullisen saajan nimi**	
Maksajan nimi	Fluff E.F.	**Maksajan tunniste**	
Maksajan tilinumero		**Alkuperäisen maksajan nimi**	
Viite		**Maksajan viite**	
Viesti	EF FLUFF July advance	**Arkistointitunnus**	[redacted]
Määrä	-200,00 EUR		
Selite	Tilisiirto		

Tilitapahtuma

Tämä on asiakkaan tulostama kuittikopio.

Saajan nimi	Erkki Pasanen	**Veloituspäivä**	22.06.2022
Saajan osoite		**Kirjauspäivä**	22.06.2022
Saajan maa		**Arvopäivä**	22.06.2022
Saajan tilinumero	[redacted]	**Saajan tunniste**	
Saajan pankin BIC-/SWIFT-koodi	[redacted]	**Lopullisen saajan nimi**	
Maksajan nimi	Fluff E.F.	**Maksajan tunniste**	
Maksajan tilinumero		**Alkuperäisen maksajan nimi**	
Viite		**Maksajan viite**	
Viesti	EF Fluff July 2	**Arkistointitunnus**	[redacted]
Määrä	-100,00 EUR		
Selite	Tilisiirto		

Tilitapahtuma

Tämä on asiakkaan tulostama kuittikopio.

Saajan nimi	Erkki Pasanen	**Veloituspäivä**	04.07.2022
Saajan osoite		**Kirjauspäivä**	04.07.2022
Saajan maa		**Arvopäivä**	04.07.2022
Saajan tilinumero	[redacted]	**Saajan tunniste**	
Saajan pankin BIC-/SWIFT-koodi	[redacted]	**Lopullisen saajan nimi**	
Maksajan nimi	Fluff E.F.	**Maksajan tunniste**	
Maksajan tilinumero		**Alkuperäisen maksajan nimi**	
Viite		**Maksajan viite**	
Viesti	Rent July 3	**Arkistointitunnus**	[redacted]
Määrä	-240,00 EUR		
Selite	Tilisiirto		

Tilitapahtuma

Tämä on asiakkaan tulostama kuittikopio.

Saajan nimi	Erkki Pasanen	**Veloituspäivä**	23.08.2022
Saajan osoite		**Kirjauspäivä**	23.08.2022
Saajan maa		**Arvopäivä**	23.08.2022
Saajan tilinumero	[redacted]	**Saajan tunniste**	
Saajan pankin BIC-/SWIFT-koodi	[redacted]	**Lopullisen saajan nimi**	
Maksajan nimi	Fluff E.F.	**Maksajan tunniste**	
Maksajan tilinumero		**Alkuperäisen maksajan nimi**	
Viite		**Maksajan viite**	
Viesti	rent	**Arkistointitunnus**	[redacted]
Määrä	-1 380,00 EUR		
Selite	Tilisiirto		

Tilitapahtuma

Tämä on asiakkaan tulostama kuittikopio.

Saajan nimi	Erkki Pasanen	**Veloituspäivä**	21.09.2022
Saajan osoite		**Kirjauspäivä**	21.09.2022
Saajan maa		**Arvopäivä**	21.09.2022
Saajan tilinumero	[redacted]	**Saajan tunniste**	
Saajan pankin BIC-/SWIFT-koodi	[redacted]	**Lopullisen saajan nimi**	
Maksajan nimi	Fluff E.F.	**Maksajan tunniste**	
Maksajan tilinumero		**Alkuperäisen maksajan nimi**	
Viite		**Maksajan viite**	
Viesti	EF Fluff September 2	**Arkistointitunnus**	[redacted]
Määrä	-300,00 EUR		
Selite	Tilisiirto		

Transaction details

Print

Withdrew Funds to a Bank Account

19 October 2022 at 03:25:11 PDT | Transaction ID: [redacted]

Payment Status: COMPLETED

Gross amount

-€3.120,00 EUR

Your Payment

Gross Amount	-€3.120,00 EUR
PayPal Transaction Fee	€0,00 EUR
Net Amount	-€3.120,00 EUR

Contact information	Bank Account
Funding details	Funding Type: Bank Account Funding Source: €3.120,00 EUR - [redacted] Bank Abp ending in x-1603 Funding Type: PayPal balance Funding Source: -€3.120,00 EUR - PayPal Account

Need help?

You transferred

€3.120,00 EUR

Estimated arrival: 1–2 days

You transferred money to your bank using your [redacted]
Bank Abp Checking •••• 1603.

Done

A. The 23rd of August Payment that Judge Kirsi Kohonen states was unclear. This included advance payment for the month of September 2023. The beginning of the agreed continuation lease agreement contract.

Tilitapahtuma

Tämä on asiakkaan tulostama kuittikopio.

Saajan nimi	Erkki Pasanen	**Veloituspäivä**	23.08.2022
Saajan osoite		**Kirjauspäivä**	23.08.2022
Saajan maa		**Arvopäivä**	23.08.2022
Saajan tilinumero	[redacted]	**Saajan tunniste**	
Saajan pankin BIC-/SWIFT-koodi	[redacted]	**Lopullisen saajan nimi**	
Maksajan nimi	Fluff E.F.	**Maksajan tunniste**	
Maksajan tilinumero		**Alkuperäisen maksajan nimi**	
Viite		**Maksajan viite**	
Viesti	rent	**Arkistointitunnus**	[redacted]
Määrä	-1 380,00 EUR		
Selite	Tilisiirto		

B. The Plaintiffs acknowledging and accepting the payment

€1380 - 23rd of August payment that the Judgment says is “unclear”.
Additional email notification was also sent

what the delay is or has been re: Thursday+Fridah. You will know as soon as I do. Working something out re: arrears with you in mean time, will update asap. This was supposed to be closed 2.5 weeks ago.

Tuesday 23 Aug 2022 • 13:25

Hi, 1380€ transferred, more coming. Apologies. I have no idea what the hold up/delay is. I have no information from him, no reply from his lawyer.

Wednesday 24 Aug 2022 • 16:08

The transfer is now Ok. When you are in Finland ? I am coming to Helsinki around 5th. Sept.

I'm stuck with [illegible] bloody sale. If

Re: update re: sale + rent - September 2022

From [redacted]

To [redacted]

Date Friday, September 2nd, 2022 at 3:59 PM

Hi Erkki,

Spoke to the Law firm, I have a case, but it would not be on a no win no fee basis, and as such the retainer of 5,000 will have to wait until the property is actually sold, if I wish to go with that firm as it would appear he has breached contract. However, I've been advised to take the paperwork to the firm who represented in the precedent that creates my case.

https://www.mccannfitzgerald.com/knowledge/real-estate/text-and-email-risk-for-property-professionals-

This is a nightmare, but it will resolve itself I guess, it would seem it has become a partial matter of sisu. The sale very definitely did not need to go like this. I assume that this is the man's scam, a form of bait and switch and then pressured selling. I feel quite stupid for falling for it, but, he is low level famous here as a property entrepreneur so it felt fairly safe.

Re: Rent and Arrears

There was arrears of

300€ - July

840€ - August

Total: 1140€

On the 23rd of August, I paid **1380€**

That leaves **240€** as advance paid for September on the 23rd of August

September rent **840€**

Remaining September rent: **600€**

I think, if I am correct?

The remaining balance for September, and advance on October will be paid as soon as humanly possible with regard to matters here. Currently trying to balance the chaos and delays Joe Doyle has brought me.

Re: Sale Update

From Erkki Pasanen [redacted]

To [redacted]

Date Wednesday, August 31st, 2022 at 10:43 PM

Evidence of false statements re: situation with propeerty sale and Ireland.
They definitely got the notification

Hi E.F

Your case sounds very complicated; like a horrorstory!!
Happy Birthday anyway!

It means that you may not be in Helsinki soon?

Are you able to pay September rent even we have not signed the lease contract yet?

Good luck and keep me updated.

Regards, Erkki

[redacted]

On Wednesday, August 31, 2022 at 07:51:18 PM GMT+3, [redacted] wrote:

Dear Erkki,

Apologies for the delay.

First, I am working to organise the arrears and current as fast as possible, that should be with you by the end of week or so. Latest next.

My sincere apologies, the property deal was supposed to go fast and smooth, Joe Doyle the guy selling it's whole business is fast purchase. In order to get it sold quickly, I took a massive hit on the market value, dropping it down from the original asking of 500,000/550,000 to 350,000 and then again to 250,000 just for the fast sale and early release of deposit as per oral and written contract.

All the required paperwork, deed related, deed poll, fire safety, taxes, everything was with his lawyer per agreement. In the last 9 or so days, Joe Doyle has begun phoning to try and breach the contract regarding the deposit. Which could leave access to the funds another 2-3 months away re: close of sale. And also makes the drop in price, absolutely pointless.

Re: update re: sale + rent - September 2022

Erkki Pasanen follows up sending the prior two digitally signed versions of the new lease with a further amended version

From Erkki Pasanen [redacted]

To [redacted]

Date Wednesday, September 7th, 2022 at 8:05 AM

Greetings E.F.

I hope this short amendment at end of the lease agreement will help to speed up your case.
If not, so let me know.

Regards, Erkki

[redacted]

On Friday, September 2, 2022 at 04:00:00 PM GMT+3, [redacted] wrote:

Hi Erkki,

Spoke to the Law firm, I have a case, but it would not be on a no win no fee basis, and as such the retainer of 5,000 will have to wait until the property is actually sold, if I wish to go with that firm as it would appear he has breached contract. However, I've been advised to take the paperwork to the firm who represented in the precedent that creates my case.

https://www.mccannfitzgerald.com/knowledge/real-estate/text-and-email-risk-for-property-professionals-

This is a nightmare, but it will resolve itself I guess, it would seem it has become a partial matter of sisu. The sale very definitely did not need to go like this. I assume that this is the man's scam, a form of bait and switch and then pressured selling. I feel quite stupid for falling for it, but, he is low level famous here as a property entrepreneur so it felt fairly safe.

Re: Rent and Arrears

There was arrears of

300€ - July

840€ - August

Total: 1140€

C. The September 21st Payment of 300€

Tilitapahtuma

Tämä on asiakkaan tulostama kuittikopio.

Saajan nimi	Erkki Pasanen	**Veloituspäivä**	21.09.2022
Saajan osoite		**Kirjauspäivä**	21.09.2022
Saajan maa		**Arvopäivä**	21.09.2022
Saajan tilinumero	[redacted]	**Saajan tunniste**	
Saajan pankin BIC-/SWIFT-koodi	[redacted]	**Lopullisen saajan nimi**	
Maksajan nimi	Fluff E.F.	**Maksajan tunniste**	
Maksajan tilinumero		**Alkuperäisen maksajan nimi**	
Viite		**Maksajan viite**	
Viesti	EF Fluff September 2	**Arkistointitunnus**	[redacted]
Määrä	-300,00 EUR		
Selite	Tilisiirto		

D. The Notification of the 300€

Additional email notification was also sent

Erkki Pasan...

on email

Brilliant, thank you, I will get to it once coffee and such has happened.

Thursday, 15 Sept • 00:08

Hey, caught COVID, update asap. Mostly lying very still eating fruit. 1st time. The headache is, intense. Apologies

Wednesday, 21 Sept • 12:46

€300 paid today. Update following. Covid sucks. Hope you are well. Apologies.

Friday, 30 Sept • 16:30

Hi. What is your si tion

RCS (3)

E. The Plaintiffs discussing rent due to it being accepted that there is an oral contract and a lease agreement that was accepted on the 2nd day of May 2023. And that discussion in email prior to August and throughout August, September, October reflected this agreement.

Re: Sale Update

From Erkki Pasanen [redacted]

To [redacted]

Date

Hi E.F

Your case sounds very complicated; like a horrorstory!!
Happy Birthday anyway!

It means that you may not be in Helsinki soon?

Are you able to pay September rent even we have not signed the lease contract yet?

Good luck and keep me updated.

Regards, Erkki

[redacted]

On Wednesday, August 31, 2022 at 07:51:18 PM GMT+3, [redacted] wrote:

Dear Erkki,

Apologies for the delay.

First, I am working to organise the arrears and current as fast as possible, that should be with you by the end of week or so. Latest next.

My sincere apologies, the property deal was supposed to go fast and smooth, Joe Doyle the guy selling it's whole business is fast purchase. In order to get it sold quickly, I took a massive hit on the market value, dropping it down from the original asking of 500,000/550,000 to 350,000 and then again to 250,000 just for the fast sale and early release of deposit as per oral and written contract.

All the required paperwork, deed related, deed poll, fire safety, taxes, everything was with his lawyer per agreement. In the last 9 or so days, Joe Doyle has begun phoning to try and breach the contract regarding the deposit. Which could leave access to the funds another 2-3 months away re: close of sale. And also makes the drop in price, absolutely pointless.

F. October 8th – the Plaintiffs remain discussing rent as there exists an agreed on the 2nd of May 2023 rental agreement.

If there does not exist a rental agreement.

Then this is just fraud on the part of the Plaintiffs.

What is going on

From Erkki Pasanen [redacted]

To [redacted]

Date Saturday, October 8th, 2022 at 12:38 PM

HI E:F:

What is going on? Have not heard the latest!
How is the sale of the apartment?

When you are coming to Helsinki?
How you will cope with the rent? A new month has statred and no money seen.

Let us know-

Regards, Erkki

* [redacted]

[redacted]

G. October 19th – On the 19th day of October 2023, the Appellant wired the Plaintiffs 3120€ from Paypal. In their false statement to Court, during Court proceedings under sworn oath, the Plaintiffs stated:

- They did not get any notification of the payment.
- They did not know who the payment was from.
- That they did not know this payment was for rent.
- They decided to keep the unknown payment as compensation

At around 3pm on the 2nd day of June 2023, three thirds of the way through Court proceedings; the Plaintiffs began to attempt to change their story to say that they meant they did not get notification via the bank.

What follows are the notifications, the attached payment receipts and a page from the email where the Appellant details exactly the rent the amount covers.

Having requested this rental payment October 8th, the Plaintiffs never acknowledged the payment.

E Erkki Pasan...

Friday, 30 Sept • 16:30

Hi. What is your situation with covid? How is the sale of apartment? When coming back to Helsinki? How you cope with the rent? Erkki

Wednesday, 19 Oct • 13:06

Hi, apologies, money on way, arrears and more, whatever happens once you're paid I am okay with.

Sorry. The shame has been terrible.

€3120 transferred

Saturday, 12 Nov • 18:45

RCS (elisa)

Errki - €3120 transferred

From [redacted]

To [redacted]

BCC [redacted]

Date Wednesday, October 19th, 2022 at 1:34 PM

Dear Erkki

I don't know situation.

But, there's €3120. It arrived the other night, I did not see it. I've immediately sent it on to you.

I'm very much struggling. I'm so ashamed of my situation and the abuse I've suffered. I have been unable to read your emails, because I am so stressed.

But, that's your arrears + 2 months.
September, October, November, December.

I would never have seen you short.

All that was important to me was not disappointing you - because you took a chance on me. And because that was the first home I had, with a good landlord, first I'd had in years, a decade, and the thought of losing it or being forced to lose it outside of a normal situation (end of tenancy etc) would fill me with terror, because they've taken so much from me already.

Whatever the situation is. I don't know. But keep the 2 months if it's bad, but please preserve my belongings. I'm sorry and cannot thank both of your kindness enough. It was, unique.

I have not had electricity here since the end of August, when I try and get my storage preserved, there may be more. Whatever way things are.

Keep it for your trouble and the trouble I caused. Thank you. Thank you. I loved my time there.

I'm sorry

E.F. Fluff

311.74 KB 2 files attached

Screenshot 2022-10-19 at 11.31.24.png 94.42 KB | Screenshot 2022-10-19 at 11.33.00.png 217.32 KB

You transferred

€3.120,00 EUR

Estimated arrival: 1–2 days

You transferred money to your bank using you[redacted]
Bank Abp Checking •••• 1603.

Done

Transaction details

Print

Withdrew Funds to a Bank Account

19 October 2022 at 03:25:11 PDT

Transaction ID [redacted]

Payment Status: COMPLETED

Gross amount

-€3.120,00 EUR

Your Payment

Gross Amount	-€3.120,00 EUR
PayPal Transaction Fee	€0,00 EUR
Net Amount	-€3.120,00 EUR

Contact information	Bank Account
Funding details	Funding Type: Bank Account Funding Source: €3.120,00 EU [redacted] Abp ending in x-1603 Funding Type: PayPal balance Funding Source: -€3.120,00 EUR - PayPal Account

Need help?

H. On the 2nd day of May 2023, via SMS, then via email, then via phone call, the Plaintiffs invited the Appellant to a continuation of their then current lease agreement contract.

E Erkki Pasan...

Monday 2 May 2022 • 22:22

See your email for good news.
Erkki

Hey, just saw that would be really great. Thank you. I really love the apartment, and the area, it's just perfect. Thank you both.

Saturday 23 Jul 2022 • 15:20

Contracts will be signed on Monday. Transfer should be with you late Wednesday/Thursday

Wednesday 27 Jul 2022 • 23:35

Week delay due to my name change and issue with property folios. Sale still agreed. Just a matter of law Apologies.

RCS (3)

Re: May Rent 2

From Erkki Pasanen [redacted]

To [redacted]

Date Monday, May 2nd, 2022 at 10:16 PM

Hi
The May rent is now OK on our account. Thank you.

As now it seems that our daughter is not coming to stay in the apartment, since she was approved to start studing in Haag University in Holland.
That means that we can extend your rental agreement if you want.

Regards, Erkki

[redacted]

On Monday, May 2, 2022, 04:31:50 AM GMT+3, [redacted] wrote:

Re: previous

Re: €200 advance on 20/04/2022

The remaining €640 has been transferred for May as of 4:30am this morning.

Apologies for advance and delay.

Warm regards,

Fluff

I will sms this as soon as I stop forgetting to put your number back in my phone

Sent from ProtonMail mobile

-------- Original Message --------
On 28 Apr 2022, 23:19, Erkki Pasanen < epasanen@yahoo.com> wrote:

Hi
Thank you for informing me. We are all well.
My phone 040 5061100

Erkki

* [redacted]

On Thursday, April 28, 2022, 11:14:44 PM GMT+3, [redacted] wrote:

Moi,

Just to let you know the remaining €640 should be in around the 2nd of May maybe latest 3/4th depending the bank. I think. It should be 2nd though.

I will notify if any further delay.

There will then be another advance payment for June toward the middle/end of May. I will email when it happens.

Hope all is well.

Apologies on delay with item list, I keep getting a little done then dragged into phone calls or work. Will try get it done asap.

Phoned got wiped so I have lost your number I think, otherwise I would sms this also.

warm regards and thanks,

EF Fluff.

Hi
Thank you for informing me. We are all well.
My phone 040 5061100

Erkki

* [redacted]

On Thursday, April 28, 2022, 11:14:44 PM GMT+3, [redacted] wrote:

Moi,

Just to let you know the remaining €640 should be in around the 2nd of May maybe latest 3/4th depending the bank. I think. It should be 2nd though.

I will notify if any further delay.

There will then be another advance payment for June toward the middle/end of May. I will email when it happens.

Hope all is well.

Apologies on delay with item list, I keep getting a little done then dragged into phone calls or work. Will try get it done asap.

Phoned got wiped so I have lost your number I think, otherwise I would sms this also.

warm regards and thanks,

EF Fluff.

I. In her Judgement – Judge Kirsi Kohonen offers an alternative history that the original agreement was for a year to be paid in advance. The original rental agreement was 840€ p/m. The 2nd day of May 2022 offer that was immediately accepted was for a continuation of 840€ per month.

It was only later, after much discussion on the telephone that the full year payment in advance offer and parallel lease agreement for 10,080€ was made and accepted.

The 10,080€ advance payment lease agreement was dependent on the sale of the Appellant's Dublin apartment. Should the sale fall through, it was agreed the original agreed continuation of the lease agreement contract for 840 per month would continue.

Judge Kirsi Kohonen's Judgement is not just a falsification of facts. It is a complete rewrite of history to a fantasy.

[redacted] ate: 15 Jul 2022, 18:14

0 Attachments

Dear Erkki,

Apologies for delay my phone got stolen.

It has been a nightmare. And taken me this long to get back into my email.

Can you please send your number. I am back on [redacted]

Rent will paid in next week or so. Sale of apartment going ahead. Has been some minor delays. I meet them again this weekend to finalise and secure advance. I will also be transferring approximately 12 months rent in advance, before end of July, if that is okay with you? If 12 advanc is too much, I can do six?

I am very sorry it was beyond my control.

I hope all is well there.

warm regards

Fluff

J. The entire landlord and tenant relationship between the Plaintiffs was based on advance payments of rent.

This began with the first payment on the 27-28th day of January, which was made based on an oral contract when 2700€ was transferred. Which included the deposit of two months rent, and the first month of rent. 2520€

This left 180€ advance payment for March.

Re: lease agreement - bank transfer

From [redacted]

To [redacted]

Date Thursday, January 27th, 2022 at 9:39 PM

Hi,

Please see attached.

Warm regards,

E.F Fluff
Sent from ProtonMail mobile

-------- Original Message --------
On 27 Jan 2022, 21:12, Erkki Pasanen [redacted] rote:

> Attached lease agreement
>
> Regards, Erkki Pasanen
>
> [redacted]

248.93 KB 1 file attached

Screenshot_20220127-213843_Aktia Mobile Bank.jpg 248.93 KB

[redacted]

Erkki Pasanen

ACCOUNT TRANSFER

−2 700,00 €

28.1.2022

Transaction details

Message

EF Fluff deposit+1mth rent

Payee's name

Erkki Pasanen

[redacted]

[redacted]

Payer's name

Fluff E.F.

Amount

−2 700,00 €

Debit date

27.1.2022

Booking date

[redacted]

From [redacted]
Date: 18 May 2022, 21:48

Dear Errki,

€200 has been paid advance for the €840 of June's rent.

The remaining €640 will be paid as previous month - on the 2nd or 3rd of June. Unless something changes, or payment reaches me earlier in which case I will pay and email the updated figures and transfer.

June - €840

Advance paid 18th May - €200

Remaining €640.

Hope all is well,

Kind regards,

E.F. Fluff

K. In Judge Kirsi Kohonen's Judgement - she makes point that the stipulation on the agreed continuation rental lease agreement was agreed from the beginning. It was not.

It was added later, without discussion when the Plaintiffs had already accepted advance payment for the first month of the new continuation lease agreement.

The entire Judgement presents a falsification of facts.

Re: update re: sale + rent - September 2022

From Erkki Pasanen [redacted]

To [redacted]

Date Wednesday, September 7th, 2022 at 8:05 AM

Greetings E.F.

I hope this short amendment at end of the lease agreement will help to speed up your case.
If not, so let me know.

Regards, Erkki

[redacted]

On Friday, September 2, 2022 at 04:00:00 PM GMT+3, [redacted] wrote:

Hi Erkki,

Spoke to the Law firm, I have a case, but it would not be on a no win no fee basis, and as such the retainer of 5,000 will have to wait until the property is actually sold, if I wish to go with that firm as it would appear he has breached contract. However, I've been advised to take the paperwork to the firm who represented in the precedent that creates my case.

https://www.mccannfitzgerald.com/knowledge/real-estate/text-and-email-risk-for-property-professionals-

This is a nightmare, but it will resolve itself I guess, it would seem it has become a partial matter of sisu. The sale very definitely did not need to go like this. I assume that this is the man's scam, a form of bait and switch and then pressured selling. I feel quite stupid for falling for it, but, he is low level famous here as a property entrepreneur so it felt fairly safe.

Re: Rent and Arrears

There was arrears of

300€ - July

840€ - August

Total: 1140€

DISTRICT COURT OF HELSINKI
Department 2

JUDGMENT
Issued in the Registry

21 June 2023

23/25784

W 22/53025

Plaintiffs	Pasanen, Erkki Pasanen, Erja
Respondent	Fluff, E.F.
Case	Eviction, etc.
Instituted on	23 December 2022

ACTION

Demands

Erkki Pasanen and Erja Pasanen have demanded that the tenancy agreement between them and E.F. Fluff concerning the flat located at Abrahaminkatu 17 D 70, 00180 Helsinki be confirmed as having terminated, Fluff be ordered to move out of the flat immediately under threat of eviction and Fluff be ordered to pay them EUR 840 per month for use of the flat starting from 1 January 2023, plus interest for late payment pursuant to section 4(1) of the Interest Act calculated as of the 2nd day of each month, and compensate the costs incurred by Erkki Pasanen and Erja Pasanen from attending to this matter in the amount of EUR 773.80 (travel costs) and EUR 270 (court fee).

Grounds

The plaintiffs and the respondent signed a fixed-term tenancy agreement on the one-room flat located at Abrahaminkatu 17 D 70, 00180 Helsinki for the period 1 February 2022–31 August 2022. There has not been a valid tenancy agreement between them after this date. A tenancy agreement has not been signed or terms and conditions confirmed. A tentative tenancy agreement including terms and conditions was sent to the respondent by email on 7 September 2022. Sending a tentative tenancy agreement was a normal part of the plaintiffs' rental activity, the purpose of which was to allow the tenant to familiarise themselves with the agreement. Once the terms and conditions have been accepted, the agreement is signed. This was not done by either party. The "Amendment to whom it concerns" at the end of the tentative tenancy agreement referred to factors such as the respondent's proposal to pay rent for one year in advance until 31 August 2023 in the amount of EUR 10,080. This was also set as a condition for the tenancy agreement by the plaintiffs due to irregular payment of rent during the term of the tenancy agreement that ended on 31 August 2022. Furthermore, the terms and conditions of the tenancy agreement had also been violated.

The plaintiffs demanded on 17 October 2022 and several times after this date that the respondent returns the keys to the flat, because there was no valid tenancy agreement, with the promise of returning a reasonable amount of the security deposit. Irregular payments had been made by the respondent or on behalf of the respondent. The latest payment was made on 19 October 2022, when EUR 3,120 was paid from an unknown source and the payment was not specified as rent.

In any case, even if a tenancy agreement would be considered to have been established, Fluff has not paid anything for the use of the flat starting from 1 January 2023, which constitutes sufficient grounds for eviction. The landlord is not liable to ensure the tenant's ability to pay.

RESPONSE

Fluff has demanded that the action be dismissed and Erkki Pasanen and Erja Pasanen be ordered under joint and several liability to pay costs incurred by Fluff from attending to the matter in the amount of EUR 5,580 (consulting, translation and conciliation) and EUR 1,050 (medical costs).

Grounds

The fixed-term agreement ended on 31 August 2022, after which a new agreement started. Validity of a tenancy agreement does not require a written agreement. The new agreement was established through messages, repeated payments and at least tacit agreement. As the agreement continued, and it had not been agreed otherwise in writing, the new agreement was valid until further notice. As agreement had already been established it could no longer be cancelled and the plaintiffs should have given specific notice of termination.

Rent was not paid starting from 1 January 2023 due to reasons attributed to the plaintiffs, because the plaintiffs did not provide a written agreement to be delivered to the Social Insurance Institution of Finland (Kela), who would pay the rent in full and who were already paying the electricity bills for the flat. Payment of rent by the Social Insurance Institution of Finland required that a tenancy agreement be uploaded to their service. Because rent was not paid due to a reason attributable to the landlord, it does not constitute grounds for terminating the tenancy agreement.

EVIDENCE

Erkki Pasanen and Erja Pasanen

1. Email Erkki Pasanen–Fluff 17 October 2022
2. Email 19 October 2022
3. Email 12 November 2022
4. Email 26 November 2022
5. Email 7 December 2022
6. Email 15 December 2022
7. Tentative tenancy agreement, sent on 7 September 2022
8. Email 15 July 2022

- Erkki Pasanen for a probative purpose

Fluff

A. Text message conversation with Erkki Pasanen

B. Email exchange between the plaintiff and the respondent, title “Toukokuun vuokra 2”
C. Email exchange between the plaintiff and the respondent, title “Vuokraus”
D. Email exchange between the plaintiff and the respondent concerning unofficial oral agreements made by text message, phone call and email
E. Email exchange between the plaintiff and the respondent, title “Loput kesäkuulta 640 euroa”
F. Extract from email exchange between the plaintiff and the respondent from January to September
G. Email exchange between the plaintiff and the respondent, title “Heinäkuun ennakko 1”
H. Text message exchange between the plaintiff and the respondent
I. Email exchange between the plaintiff and the respondent, title “Päivitys”
J. Email exchange between the plaintiff and the respondent, title “Päivitys”
K. Email exchange between the plaintiff and the respondent, title “Tilanne”
L. Email exchange between the plaintiff and the respondent, title “Mitä tapahtuu?”
M. Email exchange between the plaintiff and the respondent, title “Re:Toukokuun vuokra”
N. Email exchange between property manager Oria Barrett, Erkki Pasanen and E.F. Fluff, title “FW Fluffin vuokrasopimus”
O. Photographs of the respondent's property tampered with and packed by the plaintiffs
P. Text message between property manager Oria Barrett and Erkki Pasanen
Q. Piia Maria Willberg's letter from the bank
R. Correspondence between Erkki Pasanen and Porochista Khakpour
S. Photograph of keys to Abrahaminkatu 17 D 70
T. Removed safety lock or uppermost lock
U. Email correspondence between property manager Oria Barrett and the plaintiffs, title “FW Fluffin vuokrasopimus”
V. Photographs from Abrahaminkatu 17 D 70 from ordinary everyday use
W. Messages
X. Email
Y. Messages
Z. Messages
AA. Messages

- Fluff for probative purpose

- Witness Anna Vuorivirta

DISTRICT COURT’S DECISION

Grounds

Framing the question

It is undisputed in the case that the fixed-term tenancy agreement between the parties ended on 31 August 2022. It is to be solved first whether a new tenancy agreement was established between the parties after the agreement that ended on 31 August 2022. If the new tenancy agreement was established, the significance of the undisputed matter that no rent has been paid as of 1 January 2023 must be assessed.

Establishment of a new tenancy agreement

According to section 5 of the Act on Residential Leases, lease agreements and amendments thereto shall be made in writing. If a lease agreement has not been made in writing, it is considered non-fixed-term.

It is undisputed in the case that the parties were planning to sign a new, one-year fixed-term tenancy agreement for the period 1 September 2022–31 August 2023. It is also undisputed in the case that the tentative tenancy agreement was not signed nor the fixed-term tenancy agreement otherwise concluded in writing.

According to Erkki Pasanen and Erja Pasanen, a new agreement was not in any way concluded. Fluff pleaded that the new tenancy agreement which is valid until further notice was established through messages, repeated payments and at least tacit agreement.

Evidence

The written evidence in the case includes the tentative/conditional fixed-term tenancy agreement 1 September 2022–31 August 2023 (written evidence 7a, 7b, D). The rent payment term of the agreement was stated in two different ways: in the first version, the entire rent for the year, EUR 10,080, is due immediately and, in the second version, the entire rent for the year is due immediately and the specified monthly rent is EUR 840. There was a condition at the end of the agreement stating that the agreement will enter into force and the landlords will sign it once Fluff is able to pay in full for his obligations under the agreement. As mentioned above, the agreement was never signed. The parties have clarified that Fluff's ability to pay is influenced by the sale of his flat in Ireland and its progress.

Both parties have referred to the messages between the parties, which indicate the following facts relevant to the assessment of the case:

- in the email message 15 July 2022, Fluff reports to Erkki Pasanen that they will pay the rent next week and that the sale of their flat is progressing, and that they will transfer approximately 12 months' rent in advance by the end of July, if this is OK. If the 12 months' rent is too much, Fluff asks whether they could pay six months. (written evidence 8)

- in the email message chain 5 August 2022, Fluff tells Erkki Pasanen about the progress of things, for which Erkki Pasanen replies that he wishes that everything gets sorted out soon and asks Fluff to keep him up to date about the events. (written evidence I)

- in the email message chain 15–16 August 2022, Erkki Pasanen and Fluff talk about a new tenancy agreement, and Erkki Pasanen requests Fluff to pay the due rents for July and August before talking about a new agreement. In further messages on 2, 7 and 8 September 2022, Fluff says that they paid a total of EUR 1,380 on 23 August 2022, which, according to them, covers the due rents for July and August and part of the September rent, i.e. EUR 240, and promises to pay the October rent as soon as possible. Fluff also asks about the signing of a new tenancy agreement. In his response, Erkki Pasanen states that a condition has been added to the end of the agreement and he wishes that this will speed up the arrangement of Fluff's things. In their response, Fluff, among other things, promises to provide an update of the situation soon and thanks for the patience. (written evidence D)

- in the email message 18 September 2022, Fluff tells Erkki Pasanen that they had COVID-19, and they will provide an update of the situation as soon as possible. (written evidence J)

- in the email message 2 October 2022, Erkki Pasanen asks Fluff how they are doing, how the sale of the flat is progressing, about their arrival to Helsinki and how they will handle the rent payment. (written evidence K)

- in the email message 8 October 2022, Erkki Pasanen asks Fluff what is happening, how the sale of the flat is progressing, when they will arrive to Helsinki and how they will handle the rent payment. (written evidence L)

- in the email message 17 October 2022, Erkki Pasanen reports to Fluff that a new tenancy agreement cannot be signed as long as Fluff is unable to pay the rents. In the message, Fluff is requested to return the keys of the flat to the landlords' home address. (written evidence 1)

- in the email message 19 October 2022, Fluff reports to Erkki Pasanen that they sent EUR 3,120 as a payment for their debts + two months, and if the situation is bad, Pasanen can keep the said two months. At the end of the message, Fluff tells them to keep the money for the trouble they have caused ("Keep it for your trouble and the trouble I caused.") and tells that they enjoyed the time there. In addition, Fluff asks them to store their belongings. (written evidence 2)

- in the email message 12 November 2022, Erkki Pasanen asks Fluff to inform about their current situation, and why they have not heard anything from them and requests Fluff to pick up their belongings by 15 December 2022 and return the keys of the flat. The earlier they return the keys, the better their chances to get the deposit back. (written evidence 3)

- in the email message 26 November 2022 to Fluff and a third party, Erkki Pasanen renews his request to return the keys by 15 December 2022, so Fluff would receive some of the deposit back.

Otherwise, the deposit will be used for the costs to replace the lock. (written evidence 4)

Erkki Pasanen stated that they did not want to sign a new tenancy agreement, as Fluff's payment of rent had been constantly irregular. The course of the events became clear through the written evidence, and there is nothing to add to the written evidence. They were under great mental pressure due to the case.

Fluff told about the overall burden caused to them by the case. The handling of the matter turned into very rigid and burdensome, and it was challenging to negotiate things.

Witness Anna Vuorivirta stated that she helped Fluff with the case in many ways. The witness remembers to have negotiated with Erkki Pasanen three times. Fluff wished that a written agreement be concluded so that they could have paid the rent with Kela's housing allowance. Erkki Pasanen did not consent to this. According to the witness, some issues other than the unpaid rents affected the eviction, something happened in October. Erkki Pasanen clearly stated that it is not about the money. He also said that the most important thing is that he gets the flat for his own use. A large amount of money was transferred, and it seemed that a new agreement was established. The witness had seen the related email messages, and the communication was warm and informative. Money was also received in advance. The EUR 5,580 claimed for attending the matter which was presented by Fluff is based on the witness' invoice concerning the psychological training including consulting, translation and conciliation work.

Evaluation of the case

It has been clarified that the landlords refrained from concluding the new fixed-term tenancy agreement that was originally planned due to rent payment reasons. However, the messages between the parties prove that the landlords kept on monitoring the situation even after 31 August 2022 for a short period of time while asking about the rent payment situation from Fluff through several messages, until they stated to Fluff in the email message on 17 October 2022 that a new tenancy agreement could not be concluded and they were asked to return the keys. Fluff's reply message on 19 October 2022 gives the impression that they were also leaving the flat.

A tenancy agreement may also be established without an express declaration of intent as a result of the actions of the parties, for example, when a landlord hands over the keys to the flat to the tenant who starts to use the flat and pay the rent. Fluff was aware of the condition set by Erkki Pasanen and Erja Pasanen that the rent must be paid in advance. Whether Fluff paid part of the September rent, EUR 240, in August remained unclear in the case. Nevertheless, the following rent payment to the landlords was only made on 19 October 2022. Therefore, the main obligation of the tenant, i.e. paying rent, was not fulfilled on time at least for the main parts concerning September and by the due date in October. This means that the actions of the parties were not balanced, and the fact alone that the landlords allowed Fluff to use the flat after 31 August 2022 until their notification submitted on 17 October 2022 does not mean that a tenancy agreement valid until further notice would have been established between them as of 1 September 2022. The payment made on 19

October 2022 after the landlords' notification on 17 October 2022 cannot have such an associated effect that could retroactively establish a new tenancy agreement starting from 1 September 2022.

Fluff also referred in the case to the prohibition of discrimination laid down in section 8 of the Non-Discrimination Act. For this part, the District Court states that the consequences provided for in the Non-Discrimination Act are compensation and declaring the discriminative contractual term void, which do not apply to this case and, all in all, provisions concerning compensation cannot apply to the actions of Erkki Pasanen and Erja Pasanen as landlords. The provisions of the Non-Discrimination Act are legally irrelevant when the District Court assesses the question at hand, thus whether a new tenancy agreement has been established between the parties.

On the basis of the above, the District Court deems that no tenancy agreement, which is valid until further notice, has been established between Erkki Pasanen and Erja Pasanen as the landlords and Fluff as the tenant starting from 1 September 2022.

Rents

According to section 34, subsection 3 of the Act on Residential Leases, the tenant shall pay rent for as long as they have possession of the apartment and also after the right to possession ends, if the tenant or a person deriving possession from the tenant has continued to use the apartment.

The landlords have requested that the rents that fell due during the legal proceedings January–June 2023 be paid. Fluff's right to possession had ended as stated above. It is undisputed in the case that Fluff continues to use the flat and rent has not been paid starting from 1 January 2023. Therefore, Fluff must be obligated to pay the rents claimed by Erkki Pasanen and Erja Pasanen. The fact referred to by Fluff that Kela could not pay the rent without a signed tenancy agreement does not have any legal effect in a situation in which Fluff has been using the flat without an existing tenancy agreement.

Court fees

According to chapter 21, section 1 of the Code of Judicial Procedure, the party who loses the matter is liable for all reasonable legal costs incurred by the necessary measures of the opposing party. According to section 8 of the same chapter, compensation shall be paid for work caused to the party by the proceedings and for losses directly linked to the proceedings.

Fluff lost the case. The costs related to the attending to the matter claimed by Erkki Pasanen and Erja Pasanen consist of travel costs between Helsinki–Näädänmaa–Helsinki caused by the two hearing sessions, a total of EUR 773.80, and the court fee charged from them, EUR 270, which amounts have not been contested. Fluff must be obligated to pay for the plaintiffs' costs related to the attending of the matter.

As Fluff lost the case, the claim concerning their own costs related to the attending of the matter is rejected.

During part of the legal proceedings, Fluff was assisted by a counsel appointed in accordance with the Legal Aid Act. The District Court will state about the fees and compensation paid to the counsel from the State funds in a separate decision.

Judgment

The tenancy agreement between Erkki Pasanen and Erja Pasanen as the landlords and E.F. Fluff as the tenant concerning the flat at the address Abrahaminkatu 17 D 70, 00180 Helsinki is confirmed to have been terminated and Fluff is obligated, under the threat of eviction, to move out from the flat and hand it over to the free possession of Erkki Pasanen and Erja Pasanen.

E.F. Fluff is obligated to pay to Erkki Pasanen and Erja Pasanen

- EUR 840, plus interest for late payment pursuant to section 4(1) of the Interest Act as of 2 January 2023,
- EUR 840, plus interest for late payment pursuant to section 4(1) of the Interest Act as of 2 February 2023,
- EUR 840, plus interest for late payment pursuant to section 4(1) of the Interest Act as of 2 March 2023,
- EUR 840, plus interest for late payment pursuant to section 4(1) of the Interest Act as of 2 April 2023,
- EUR 840, plus interest for late payment pursuant to section 4(1) of the Interest Act as of 2 May 2023,
- EUR 840, plus interest for late payment pursuant to section 4(1) of the Interest Act as of 2 June 2023 and
- a total of EUR 1,043.80 as compensation for attending the matter.

Appeal

This judgment may be appealed by lodging an appeal to the Helsinki Court of Appeal in a manner laid down in chapter 25 of the Code of Judicial Procedure or to the Supreme Court by lodging an appeal for a precedent in a manner laid down in chapter 30a of the Code of Judicial Procedure.
The intent to appeal must be reported to the District Court no later than 28 June 2023 at 4:15 p.m.

District Judge Kirsi Kohonen

The image following is evidence of Erkki Pasanen personally directing Paul Ohrnberg to online extortion-defamation of me attached to my Dublin Police brutality case.

The extortion is very clearly extortion. I am threatened with more serious defamation if I do not stop publishing about my Dublin Police Brutality case.

This includes false accusations that I'm a paedophile, a NeoNazi and other grievous defamation.

In pointing people to this defamation and extortion and falsely claiming it is true—it is as if Erkki Pasanen and Erja Pasanen had written it themselves. It is aggravated defamation.

PL Paul Perkammari LAN...

don't really care if it leaks. I have nothing to hide. 14:33

5 February 2023

Stories of the Four Courts • 1 hour ago (edited)
@Bad Gardaí The owner of Bad Gard is also known as EF Fluff and his birth name (which he changed by deed poll) is Donal Madden (previously going under the name Moosehead when engaged in a similar line of behaviour in Finland). His father is a well known Dublin solicitor. 03:35

Esitämme sellaisen kompromissin että jos Fluff muuttaa pian esimerkiksi sinun tarjoamaan asuntoon, jättää asunnon siistinä ja saamansa avaimet pöydälle niin palautamme vuokravakuuden . 03:36

Madden (previously going under the na
Moosehead when engaged in a similar
of behaviour in Finland). His father is a
known Dublin solicitor.

Esitämme sellaisen kompromissin että jos Fluff muuttaa pian esimerkiksi sinun tarjoamaan asuntoon, jättää asunnon siistinä ja saamansa avaimet pöydälle niin palautamme vuokravakuuden

Message

Additional Evidence Available:

1. **WhatsApp logs and telephone recordings with Paul Öhrnberg. In these Paul Öhrnberg discusses the reasons given by the Pasanens:**
    a) **Paul discusses the demand I stop being non-binary and queer for the Pasanens.**
    b) **Discusses the Pasanens stating they are and will directly continue to disrupt my KELA disability because the Pasanens have decided I have no right to it, even though the injuries happened in Finland.**
    c) **Discusses the Pasanens stating they are going to disrupt my access to rehabilitation.**
    d) **Discusses the Pasanens demanding I drop my Irish compensation case against the Irish Police.**
    e) **Discusses the Pasanens demanding I give up all my belongings in Ireland and Finland.**
    f) **Paul Öhrnberg trying to sell me MDMA**
    g) **Paul Öhrnberg trying to bully me into a property lease for the Pasanens.**
    h) **Paul discussing the Pasanens referring me as “one of those people” and directing him to online defamation extortion-cyberstalking about me**
    i) **And all other points referenced re: Paul.**
    j) **There’s a lot.**
2. **Anna Vuorivirta recorded phone calls with Erkki Pasanen. Vuorivirta committed perjury about this point as part of her coercive control. They still exist though.**
3. **Immediately after talking to Erkki Pasanen – audio of Anna Vuorivirta discussing Erkki Pasanen admitting to false statement to the Helsinki District Court, and admitting the matter is a hate crime and based on illegal discrimination.**
4. **Court recordings of Erkki admitting it is a hate crime.**
5. **Court recording of Erkki admitting it was a false statement to the Court.**
6. **Court audio of Erkki admitting perjury.**
7. **Court audio of Erkki showing the evidence (payments etc) that Judge Kohonen claims was “unclear”**

8. **Erkki giving a speech on how the reason for the eviction was hatred of who I am and what I represent as a person.**
9. **Audio of Anna Vuorivirta discuss Erkki admitting that the Irish Police had not contacted him – this is direct evidence of Erkki Pasanen not only committing perjury – but malicious and aggravated defamation in Court.**
10. **Evidence from Orla Barrett of Erkki's fraud and perjury.**
11. **Evidence from Orla Barrett of Erkki telling her I'm not non-binary and queer, I'm suffering mental illness.**
12. **Evidence from Porochista Khakpour (renowned Iranian-American author) where Erkki defames me about a. gender and sexuality, b. being a criminal c. my finances.**
13. **Evidence from both Orla Barrett and Porochista Khakpour of Erkki Pasanen admitting opening and reading my private mail-post and discussing the contents of said private post.**
14. **Photographic evidence of the trespass by the Pasanens provided by the Pasanens themselves.**
15. **Evidence from KOTIKATU: there was no maintenance check on the 21.12.2022 – the Pasanens attempted violent and aggressive forced entry as an act of harassment and menace during a PAID RENTAL PERIOD.**
16. **And more.**

Immense thanks to Nicolas Moore for this chance to be heard.
Thank you.

Iso Sydämelliset Kiitokset

NOW

GIVE

ME

BACK

MY LIFE